SHORT PAPER



# Characterization of Bacterial Community in the Gut of *Penaeus monodon* and Its Culture Water in Shrimp Ponds

## Duc Hoang Le<sup>1,2</sup>, Nam Trung Nguyen<sup>1,2</sup>, Oanh Hoang Thi Dang<sup>3</sup>, Georg Steinert<sup>4</sup>, Thanh Trung Tran<sup>1</sup>, Trang Huyen Vu<sup>1,2</sup>, Detmer Sipkema<sup>4</sup>, Ha Hoang Chu<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Biotechnology, National Key Laboratory of Gene Technology, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, Cau Giay, Ha Noi, Vietnam.

<sup>2</sup>Graduate University of Science and Technology, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, Cau Giay, Ha Noi, Vietnam.

<sup>3</sup>Can Tho University, College of Aquaculture and Fisheries, Can Tho, Vietnam.

<sup>4</sup>Wageningen University, Laboratory of Microbiology, Wageningen, The Netherlands.

#### **Article History**

Received 16 March 2018 Accepted 01 November 2018 First Online 06 November 2018

#### **Corresponding Author**

Tel.: +842437562790 E-mail: chuhoangha@ibt.ac.vn

#### Keywords

Asymptomatic Bacterial community Illumina sequencing Penaeus monodon Symptomatic

#### Abstract

The knowledge of bacterial communities in the brackish shrimp farming in Vietnam is still insufficient. Here, the diversity of gut bacteria and nearby environment in asymptomatic and symptomatic black tiger shrimp farms in Bac Lieu province, Vietnam was examined by 16S rRNA Illumina sequencing. The dominant bacterial phyla were Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Cyanobacteria, Crenarchaeota and Actinobacteria. Principal coordinate analysis showed bacterial communities in the gut and rearing-water of asymptomatic shrimps were separated, while those of symptomatic shrimps and rearing water were clustered together. These findings provide valuable information on the microbial community and contribute to control the diseases in shrimp farms.

#### Introduction

The black tiger shrimp (Penaeus monodon) is considered as a major aquaculture species. In 2016, the black tiger shrimp aquaculture production was 222,000 tons from around 598,000 ha (MARD, 2016). Due to the economic important role of black tiger shrimp, there have been studies working to improve its cultivation, including genome research and disease investigations (Nguyen et al., 2016; Tran et al., 2013). However, black tiger shrimp farming is facing several challenges, such as the rapid expansion of the number of farms, households, farming area, and recently farming intensification (Stockstad, 2010). With this rapid expansion, several bacterial and viral diseases have emerged (Bachère et al., 2004; Lightner, 2011; Soto-Rodriguez, Gomez-Gil, & Lozano, 2010). This has led to extensive use of antibiotics and disinfectants and onfarm accumulation of harmful derivative products potentially entering the human food chain (Budziak, Richard, Beltrame, & Carasek, 2007; Kleter, Groot, Poelman, Kok, & Marvin, 2009). Bacterial diseases can be prevented or controlled by several approaches such as the use of water treatment (Caroline & Aguinaldo, 2012), and maintaining the balance of microbial communities in shrimp farms through the application of probiotics (Sha *et al*, 2016). Probiotic, as an alternative solution for antibiotics, have been widely used in shrimp farming to enhance immune status of shrimp, control pathogens, and modulate bacterial community in shrimp intestine (Ige, 2013; Maeda *et al.*, 2014; Yousefian & Amiri, 2009).

Being a prominent aquatic species, the microbial communities of the shrimp intestinal and nearby environment have been studied based on the use of anaerobic culture techniques (Oxley, Shipton, Owens, & McKay, 2002; Liu *et al.*, 2011). Recently, even new cultivation methods have been continuously innovated, about 30% of the bacterial phyla have been cultivated. However, most of the microorganisms cannot be cultured (Achtman & Wagner, 2008; Schippers *et al.*, 2012). The development of cheap high-throughput cultivation-independent methods to identify the microbes present in environmental samples has greatly facilitated our understanding of the important microbial players in many environments over the past decade (Caporaso *et al.*, 2011) with the goal to better understand global microbial ecology in both terrestrial and aquatic environments (Nielsen *et al.*, 2014; Kaiser *et al.*, 2016; Naim *et al.*, 2014).

This study investigated the diversity of intestinal bacteria and rearing-water of asymptomatic and symptomatic black tiger shrimps in Bac Lieu province, Vietnam by 16S rRNA Illumina sequencing with the aim of shedding light on correlations between putative uncharacterized pathogenic and beneficial bacteria in shrimp ponds for detection of potential pathogens and future probiotic-approaches for more sustainable shrimp farming practices.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Sample Collection and DNA Extraction

Samples were collected from earthen ponds used for semi-intensive shrimp located in Dong Hai district, Bac Lieu province, Vietnam between October and November 2015 (Figure 1). The ponds have the same input water source with a rearing salinity of 25 ppt. The size of ponds were 1 ha with a stocking density of 25 individuals/m<sup>2</sup>. The shrimp were fed three times per day with commercial pellets (GroBest, Vietnam), containing 40% protein, 5% carbohydrate and 3% lipid, and were collected at the same growth stage and the same time. Shrimp samples were divided into asymptomatic and symptomatic group. The asymptomatic shrimp and rearing water were taken from one pond where shrimp had no apparent sign of disease by visual inspection and black intestine. The average asymptomatic shrimp weight ranged from 18 - 20 g. The symptomatic shrimps presented pathological symptoms, such as stunted growth, empty gut, and white aqueous hepatopancreas. The average symptomatic shrimp weight ranged from 16 - 18 g. After collection, the body surface of shrimps was washed using 70% ethanol. In each group, the contents of midgut and hindgut were aseptically dissected. Genomic DNA was extracted in triplicate (in each replicate the guts from 10 shrimps were pooled) using the QIAamp DNA mini kit (Qiagen, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instruction.

Rearing-water samples were collected at five different points in shrimp pond using 10 L sterile plastic bottles, and transferred on ice to the laboratory of Institute of Biotechnology (Hanoi, Vietnam). Bacterial

cells were separated by standard sequential filtration techniques: each rearing-water sample was filtered through 45- $\mu$ m filter cloth to remove algae and large suspended particles, then 1.0 L filtrate was subsequently filtered through polycarbonate membranes with 0.8 and 0.22  $\mu$ m pore size (47 mm diameter) (Millipore, Ireland), respectively. DNA was extracted in triplicate from three 0.22  $\mu$ m filters of each sample using the QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instruction.

#### PCR and Sequencing

DNA mixtures containing equivalent amounts of DNA from the pooled samples were used for PCR. The V3–V4 variable regions of 16S rRNA gene from bacteria and archaea were amplified by PCR using the primers 341F (5'-CCTAYGGGRBGCASCAG-3') and 806R (5'-GGACTACNNGGGTATCTAAT-3') (Yu, Lee, Kim, & Hwang, 2005) and sample specific six-nucleotide barcodes were added at the 5' end to allow multiple samples to be analyzed in parallel. The mixed pool of PCR products was sent to First BASE company (Malaysia) for sequencing. Sequencing libraries were generated using TruSeq<sup>®</sup> DNA PCR-Free Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina, USA) following manufacturer's recommendations. The library quality was assessed on the Qubit@ 2.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Scientific, USA) and Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 system (Agilent, USA). The library was sequenced on an Illumina HiSeg 2500 platform (Illumina, USA) and 250 bp paired-end reads were generated.

#### **Sequence Data Analysis**

Paired-end reads were assigned to samples based on their unique barcode and truncated by cutting off the barcode and primer sequence. Paired-end reads were merged using FLASH version 1.2.7 (Magoč & Salzberg, 2011), which was designed to merge paired-end reads when at least some part of the reads overlapped with the read generated from the opposite end of the same DNA fragment. Quality filtering of the raw tags (pairedend reads after assembly) was performed using default filtering conditions to obtain the high-quality clean tags according to the QIIME (Version 1.7.0) quality control process (Bokulich et al., 2013; Caporaso et al., 2010). The tags were compared with the reference database (Gold database) using the UCHIME algorithm to detect chimeric sequences, and then the chimera sequences were removed (Edgar Haas, Clemente, Quince, & Knight, 2011; Haas et al., 2011). Sequence processing was performed using the UPARSE software v7.0.1001 (Edgar, 2013). Sequences with ≥ 97% similarity were assigned to the same OTUs. A representative sequence for each OTU was used for species annotation with the GreenGenes Database (DeSantis et al., 2006) based on RDP (Version 2.2) classifier algorithm (Wang, Garrity, Tiedje, & Cole, 2007). Read numbers were rarified to the sample with



Figure 1. A. Asymptomatic and symptomatic shrimps were collected in Bac Lieu province, Vietnam. B. Map of sampling locations (Map source: http://www.google.com/maps).

the lowest number of sequences. Subsequent analyses of alpha diversity and beta diversity were all performed based on the rarified OTU table. Diversity indices were calculated with QIIME (Version 1.7.0) and displayed using the R software (Version 2.15.3). To estimate and compare bacterial diversity in rearing-water and gut of shrimps, OTUs from each sample were used to calculate three diversity indices: observed richness (OTUs), Good's coverage, Chao1, and Shannon Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) was performed with the distance matrixes of weighted and unweighted UniFrac distances. PCoA analysis was displayed by the WGCNA package, stat packages and ggplot2 package in R software (Version 2.15.3). A heatmap was produced to show species composition and abundance among samples by R software (Version 2.15.3) All sequencing libraries in this study were deposited in the NCBI GenBank database under the accession numbers SAMN06062067 for asymptomatic gut, SAMN06062068 for symptomatic gut, SAMN06062069 for asymptomatic rearing-water, and SAMN06062079 for symptomatic rearing-water.

#### Results

#### **16S rRNA Gene Sequencing Analysis**

In order to determine the microbial communities in guts and rearing-water samples of black tiger shrimp, 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing was used. After data quality filtering, a total of 512,896 qualified reads were obtained from sequencing the V3-V4 region of 16S rRNA genes. Four communities including asymptomatic gut, symptomatic gut, asymptomatic rearing-water and symptomatic rearing-water contained 133,661, 124,574, 122,816 and 131,845 reads, respectively (Table 1). Sequences were clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) at 97% similarity. The number of OTUs in asymptomatic gut, symptomatic gut, asymptomatic rearing-water and symptomatic rearingwater was 683, 598, 696 and 608, respectively (Table 1). Good's coverage index in all four samples was 0.999 suggesting the obtained OTUs from each library represented the majority of bacteria in the rearingwater and gut of shrimps (Table 1). To estimate true bacterial species richness, Chao1 index was calculated and its value reflected an estimated number of OTUs for each sample. Chao1 values were calculated in asymptomatic gut (721.67), symptomatic gut (664), asymptomatic rearing-water (744.59) and symptomatic rearing-water (641.04) (Table 1). Shannon analysis showed negligible differences among the four studied groups (Table 1). However, the rarefaction curves have not approached the plateau for four studied samples indicating that bacterial richness was not fully determined yet (Figure 2).

Table 1. Summary of read analysis and bacterial diversity indices; observed richness (OTUs), sample coverage (Good's coverage), estimated OTU richness (Chao1), and diversity (Shannon) and for gut and rearing-water of asymptomatic and symptomatic shrimps.

	Asymptomatic gut	Symptomatic gut	Asymptomatic rearing- water	Symptomatic rearing water
Sampling depth	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
A total number of				
sequences (512,896)	133,661	124,574	122,816	131,845
Phylum	22	21	21	21
Class	52	50	45	50
Family	111	102	102	99
Genus	113	115	100	112
OTUs (97% similarity)	683	598	696	608
Diversity indices				
Good's coverage	0.999	0.999	0.999	0.999
Chao1	721.67	664.00	744.59	641.04
Shannon	4.918	4.944	5.046	5.034



Figure 2. Rarefaction analysis of gut and rearing-water of asymptomatic and symptomatic shrimps. Rarefaction curves were calculated from the four samples at 97% sequence identity of 16S rRNA gene.

### Taxonomic Composition In Rearing-Water and Gut of Shrimps

Bacterial reads in four studied samples were classified into ten major phyla including Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Cyanobacteria, Crenarchaeota, Actinobacteria, Tenericutes, Verrucomicrobia, Firmicutes, Chlorobi, and Gemmatimonadetes (Figure 3). In the asymptomatic gut, Proteobacteria was the most abundant phylum (79.12%), followed by (8.95%), Actinobacteria Bacteroidetes (6.08%), Cyanobacteria (3.17%), and Crenarchaeota (1.33%). In the asymptomatic water, dominant phylum was 73.19% Proteobacteria, 9.38% Crenarchaeota, 7.49% Actinobacteria, 5.83% Bacteroidetes, and 3.16% Cyanobacteria. The symptomatic gut and symptomatic water contained similar dominant phyla: Proteobacteria (63.29% and 63.30%, respectively), Bacteroidetes (15.34% and 15.48%, respectively), Cyanobacteria (12.19% and 12.12%, respectively), and Actinobacteria (8.37% and 8.07%, respectively).

Within Proteobacteria phylum, bacteria from class were Gammaproteobacteria most abundant. contributed 66.39% in asymptomatic gut, 59.37% in asymptomatic water, 55.44% in symptomatic gut, and 54.51% in symptomatic water. Within class Gammaproteobacteria, bacteria belonging to Shewanella genus were present at a much higher relative abundance in asymptomatic gut (29.18%) and rearing-water (26.55%) compared to that in symptomatic gut (1.33%) and rearing-water (1.46%). On the other hand, relative abundance of the *Pseudomonas* genus was significantly higher in symptomatic gut (30.53%) and rearing-water (29.64%) compared to that in asymptomatic gut (3.61%) and rearing-water (3.16%).

Within Bacteroidetes phylum, bacterial compositions were distributed into seven different classes in which *Flavobacteriia* was the most abundant. Sequences assigned *Flavobacterium* genus were highly frequent in symptomatic gut (10.41%) and rearingwater (10.44%) compared to that in asymptomatic gut (0.32%) and rearing-water (0.28%).

Overlap and differences of bacterial OTUs in rearing water and gut of shrimps showed that asymptomatic gut containing 683 OTUs and symptomatic gut containing 598 OTUs shared 432 OTUs, while 448 OTUs were shared between asymptomatic rearing-water (containing 696 OTUs) and symptomatic rearing-water (containing 608 OTUs) (Figure 4).

Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) in rearingwater and gut of shrimps showed that bacterial communities in asymptomatic gut and rearing-water were separated, while the symptomatic gut and rearingwater were clustered closer together (Figure 5). This result suggested that bacteria in symptomatic gut and rearing-water represent higher species composition similarity than in the asymptomatic gut and rearingwater. The heatmap represents the frequency of 35 dominant genera among all samples (Figure 6). The color indicates that the percentage of the *Vibrio* genus was slightly higher in asymptomatic gut with 0.33%, compared to 0.02% in the symptomatic gut. However, there was no difference in *Vibrio* abundance between asymptomatic water and symptomatic water, with 0.03% and 0.01%, respectively.

#### Discussion

The association between intestinal bacteria and host health and development has been extensively studied (Sekirov, Russell, Antunes, & Finlay, 2010; Sullam et al., 2012; Cardona et al., 2016). Bacteria associated with the digestive tracts of animals might act as natural barriers against pathogens by competing for the space in the niche and thereby maintain health (Perez et al., 2010). Bacteria present in the aquaculture environment play an important role in the processes of nutrient cycling and mineralization of organic compounds (Vaz-Moreira, Egas, Nunes, & Manaia, 2011), but also affect the intestinal bacteria in aquaculture animals (Sullam et al., 2012). Previous investigations have suggested that shrimp-associated intestinal bacteria were obtained from the rearingenvironment (Chaiyapechara et al., 2012, Wang et al., 2014), the microbial community in the water is more diverse than in the intestine of shrimps (Johnson et al., 2008). It is possible that there is a specific selection for the survival of water-derived microbes in the gut. (Johnson et al., 2008; Cardona et al., 2016). To date, the bacterial communities in commercially important shrimp species have been examined from the culture dependent methods to molecular methods in other regions (Iehata, Deris, Ikhwanuddin, & Wong, 2017; Mongkol et al., 2017; Zheng et al., 2017). However, the bacterial communities in shrimps in Vietnam are poorly understood with few studies have been carried out (Chen, Ng, Wu, Chen, & Wang, 2017). Here, bacteria associated with the intestinal tract of black tiger shrimp and bacterial communities in rearing-water in Vietnam were characterized by using the high-throughput 16S rRNA-based Illumina sequencing approach. The result was consistent with the previous reports that Proteobacteria was the predominant phylum associated with the intestine of black tiger shrimp (Mongkol et al., 2017; Rungrassame et al., 2013; 2014). However, little difference exists between shrimp bacteria and water bacteria for the symptomatic pond. Previous studies have reported that members of the Flavobacterium and Pseudomonas genus can cause bacterial shell disease and early mortality syndrome (EMS) (Flegel, 2012). In this study sequences assigned to Flavobacterium and Pseudomonas genera were highly abundant in the symptomatic samples. Some species belonging to the Pseudomonas genus such as P. putida, P. aeruginosa have been reported as pathogenic bacteria in black tiger



Figure 3. Bacterial composition of gut and rearing-water of asymptomatic and symptomatic shrimps in Phylum. Sequences that could not be identified were assigned as "Other bacteria".



**Figure 4.** The shared OTUs in gut and rearing-water of asymptomatic and symptomatic shrimps. The Venn diagram presents the unique and shared OTUs at 97% similarity level in gut and rearing-water of asymptomatic and symptomatic shrimps.



Figure 5. Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) based on weighted-UniFrac analysis of bacterial species in gut and rearing-water of asymptomatic and symptomatic shrimps.



**Figure 6.** Frequency of OTUs in rearing-water and gut of shrimps represented as a heatmap. The heat map shows the distribution of dominant 35 genera among all samples. The colors represent the relative percentage of the microbial genus assignments within each sample. Square colors shifted towards red indicate higher abundance.

shrimp (Narasimhan *et al.*, 2013). Interestingly, relative abundances of sequences assigned to the *Shewanella* genus were high in asymptomatic samples. Members of the *Shewanella* genus are widely distributed in aquatic environments and they are known as potential probiotic against pathogenic bacteria (Ariole & Eddo, 2015). *S. algae* has previously been reported as a probiotic candidate against *V. harveyi*, *V. parahaemolyticus* and *V. alginolyticus* (Shakibazadeh *et al.*, 2012). Therefore, *Shewanella* genus may be used as a potential probiotic for shrimp farming. Several species in the *Vibrio* genus have been characterized as pathogens for shrimps (Ninawe & Joseph, 2009). In contrast, some *Vibrio* species have been proposed and tested as probiotics in aquaculture (Ninawe & Joseph, 2009). Whether the observed genera harboring potential pathogens or probiotics should be taken into account in next studies.

In our study, bacterial communities in the gut and water of asymptomatic shrimps were separated whereas those of symptomatic shrimps were clustered together (Figure 5). Normally, shrimp pathogens, including bacteria and viruses in rearing water, may go into the digestive tract and trigger immune responses of the shrimp (Soonthornchai *et al.*, 2010). Previous studies

also showed that maintaining the stable balance of bacteria diversity in intestine is not only pivotal to the nutrients absorption and immunity of the host, but also a mechanism in the host to battle against the presence of pathogens (Hooper et al., 2001; Serikov, Russell, Antunes, & Finlay, 2010). Our results were consistent with a previous study showing that the intestinal bacterial communities in P. monodon with no sign of disease were distinct from those of the pond water (Chaiyapechara et al., 2012). On the other hand, the less diversity between intestinal bacteria of symptomatic shrimps and those of the rearing environment, speculated to be the result of immunological change on the intestinal tract, suggested that a disruption on stability of intestinal microbiota might be associated with shrimp illness. However, further studies are needed to clarify whether the bacterial community similarity between the gut and rearing-water of symptomatic shrimps is caused by a disruption in maintaining the balanced interaction between shrimp and pathogens.

#### Conclusions

This study provided new insight into the diversity of bacterial communities in the guts and rearing-water of both asymptomatic and symptomatic black tiger shrimps in Vietnam. The results identified the different distribution of potential pathogenic in *Flavobacterium* and *Pseudomonas* genus and probiotic in *Shewanella* genus in both guts and rearing-water samples of asymptomatic and symptomatic shrimps. The results also revealed the change of bacterial diversity in the gut and rearing-environment of asymptomatic and symptomatic shrimps. However, the interaction between bacterial communities in gut and rearing-water in order to control the diseases in shrimp farms needs further investigations.

#### Acknowledgements

This study was financially supported by the project DTDLCN.16/14 (for Ha Hoang Chu) from the Vietnamese Ministry of Science and Technology. The authors are thankful to colleagues from Department of Aquatic Pathology, College of Aquaculture and Fisheries, Can Tho University for their helpful assistance in sampling. The authors are appreciated to colleagues from WUR (The Netherlands) for the assistance during the data analysis.

#### References

Achtman, M., & Wagner, M. (2008). Microbial diversity and the genetic nature of microbial species. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 6 (6), 431-440.

https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1872

Ariole, C.N., & Eddo, T.T. (2015). Effect of an indigenous probiotic (*Shewanella algae*) isolated from healthy

- Bachère, E., Gueguen, Y., Gonzalez, M., De Lorgeril, J., Garnier, J., & Romestand, B. (2004). Insights into the antimicrobial defense of marine invertebrates: The penaeid shrimps and the oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. *Immunological Reviews*, 198, 149-168. https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.0105-2896.2004.00115.x
- Bokulich, N.A., Subramanian, S., Faith, J.J., Gevers, D., Gordon, J.I., Knight, R., Mills, D.A., & Caporaso, J.G. (2013). Quality-filtering vastly improves diversity estimates from Illumina amplicon sequencing. *Nature Methods*, 10 (1), 57-59. https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2276
- Budziak, D., Richard, L. Jr., Beltrame, E., & Carasek, E. (2007). Monitoring the formation of trihalomethanes in the effluents from a shrimp hatchery. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 127 (1-3), 435-444. https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10661-006-9293-7
- J.G, Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, Caporaso. K., Bushman, F.D., Costello, E.K., Fierer, N., Peña, A.G., Goodrich, J.K., Gordon, J.I., Huttley, G.A., Kelley, S.T., Knights, D., Koenig, J.E., Ley, R.E., Lozupone, C.A., McDonald, D., Muegge, B.D., Pirrung, M., Reeder, J., Sevinsky. J.R., Turnbaugh, P.J., Walters. W.A., Widmann, J., Yatsunenko, T., Zaneveld, J., & Knight, R. (2010). QIIME allows analysis of highthroughput community sequencing data. Nature Methods. 7 (5), 335-336. https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.f.303
- Caporaso, J.G., Lauber, C.L., Walters, W.A., Berg-Lyons, D., Lozupone, C.A., Turnbaugh, P.J., Fierer, N., & Knight, R. (2011). Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per sample. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States*, 108 (Supplement 1), 4516-4522. https://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1000080107
- Cardona, E., Gueguen, Y., Magré, K., Lorgeoux, B., Piquemal, D., Pierrat, F., Noguier, F., & Saulnier, D. (2016). Bacterial community characterization of water and intestine of the shrimp *Litopenaeus stylirostris* in a biofloc system. *BMC Microbiology*, 16 (1), 157. https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12866-016-0770-z
- Caroline, H.S., & Aguinaldo, R.P. (2012). Challenges in shrimp aquaculture due to viral diseases: distribution and biology of the five major penaeid viruses and interventions to avoid viral incidence and dispersion. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, 43 (3), 857– 864. https://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1517-83822012000300002
- Chaiyapechara, S., Rungrassamee, W., Suriyachay, I., Kuncharin, Y., Klanchui, A., Karoonuthaisiri, N., & Jiravanichpaisal, P. (2012). Bacterial community associated with the intestinal tract of *P. monodon* in commercial farms. *Microbial Ecology*, 63 (4), 938– 953. https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00248-011-9936-2
- Chen, W.Y., Ng, T.H., Wu, J.H., Chen, J.W., & Wang, H.C. (2017) Microbiome Dynamics in a Shrimp Grow-out Pond with Possible Outbreak of Acute Hepatopancreatic Necrosis Disease. *Scientific Reports*, 7 (1), 9395. https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-09923-6.6
- DeSantis, T.Z., Hugenholtz, P., Larsen, N., Rojas, M., Brodie, E.L., Keller, K., Huber, T., Dalevi, D., Hu, P., & Andersen, G.L. (2006). Greengenes, a chimera-checked 16S rRNA

gene database and workbench compatible with ARB. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 72 (7), 5069-5072. https://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03006-05

- Edgar, R.C., Haas, B.J., Clemente, J.C., Quince, C., & Knight, R. (2011). UCHIME improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. *Bioinformatics*, 27 (16), 2194-2200. https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr381
- Edgar, R.C. (2013). UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon reads. *Nature Methods*, 10 (10), 996-998. https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2604
- Flegel, T.W. (2012). Historic emergence, impact and current status of shrimp pathogens in Asia. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 110 (2), 166-173. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2012.03.004
- Haas, B.J., Gevers, D., Earl, A.M., Feldgarden, M., Ward, D.V., Giannoukos, G., Ciulla, D., Tabbaa, D., Highlander, S.K., Sodergren, E., Methé, B., DeSantis, T.Z., Human Microbiome Consortium., Petrosino, J.F., Knight, R., & Birren, B.W. (2011). Chimeric 16S rRNA sequence formation and detection in Sanger and 454-pyrosequenced PCR amplicons. *Genome Research*, 21 (3), 494-504. https://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gr.112730.110
- Hooper, L.V., Wong, M.H., Thelin, A., Hansson, L., Falk, P.G., & Gordon, J.I. (2001). Molecular analysis of commensal host-microbial relationships in the intestine. *Science*, 291 (5505), 881-884. https://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.291.5505.881
- Ige, B.A. (2013). Probiotics use in intensive fish farming. African Journal of Microbiology Research, 7 (22), 2701-2711. https://dx.doi.org/10.5897/AJMRx12.021
- Iehata, S., Deris, Z.M., Ikhwanuddin, M., & Wong, L.L. (2017). Characterization of gut bacterial diversity of wild broodstock of *Penaeus monodon* and *Fenneropenaeus merguiensis* using PCR-DGGE. AACL Bioflux, 10(3), 465-474.
- Johnson, C.N., Barnes, S., Ogle, J., Grimes, D.J., Chang, Y.J., Peacock, A.D., & Kline, L. (2008). Microbial community analysis of water, foregut, and hindgut during growth of pacific White Shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, in closedsystem aquaculture. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society*, 39, 251–258. https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-7345.2008.00155.x
- Kaiser, K., Wemheuer, B., Korolkow, V., Wemheuer, F., Nacke, H., Schöning, I., Schrumpf, M., & Daniell, R. (2016). Driving forces of soil bacterial community structure, diversity, and function in temperate grasslands and forests. *Scientific Reports*, 6, 33696. https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep33696
- Kleter, G.A., Groot, M.J., Poelman, M., Kok, E.J., & Marvin, H.J.P. (2009). Timely awareness and prevention of emerging chemical and biochemical risks in foods: Proposal for a strategy based on experience with recent cases. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 47 (5), 992-1008. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2008.08.021
- Lightner, D.V. (2011). Virus diseases of farmed shrimp in the Western Hemisphere (the Americas): A review. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 106 (1), 110-130. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2010.09.012
- Liu, H.D., Wang, L., Liu, M., Wang, B.J., Jiang, K.Y., Ma, S.S., & Li, Q.F. (2011). The intestinal microbial diversity in Chinese shrimp (*Fenneropenaeus chinensis*) as determined by PCR–DGGE and clone library analyses. *Aquaculture*, 317 (1-4), 32-36. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2011.04.008

- Maeda, M., Shibata, A., Biswas, G., Korenaga, H., Kono, T., Itami, T., & Sakai, M. (2014). Isolation of lactic acid bacteria from Kuruma shrimp (*Marsupenaeus japonicus*) intestine and assessment of immunomodulatory role of a selected strain as probiotic. *Marine Biotechnology*, 16 (2), 181-192. https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10126-013-9532-1
- Magoč, T., & Salzberg, S.L. (2011). FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics*, 27 (1), 2957-2963. https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr507
- Ministry of Agricultural and Rual Development (MARD). (2016). Report on the Shrimp Sector (in Vietnamese). Retrieved from https://www.mard.gov.vn/en/Pages/default.aspx
- Mongkol, P., Bunphimpapha, P., Rungrassamee, W., Arayamethakorn, S., Klinbunga, S., Menasveta, P., & Chaiyapechara, S. (2017). Bacterial community composition and distribution in different segments of the gastrointestinal tract of wild-caught adult *Penaeus* monodon. Aquaculture Research, 49, 378–392. https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/are.13468
- Naim, M.A., Morillo, J.A., Sørensen, S.J., Waleed, A.A., Smidt, H., & Sipkema, D. (2014). Hostspecific microbial communities in three sympatric North Sea sponges. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 90 (2), 390-403. https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1574-6941.12400
- Narasimhan, N., Ravimanickam, T., Sukumaran, M., Ravichelvan, R., Raviahandran, R., & Madhavan, D. (2013). Pathogenic bacteria isolated from tiger prawn Penaeus monodon in shrimp culture ponds at east coast of thanjavur district tamil nadu India. International Journal of Biological Sciences, 3 (2), 98-101.
- Nguyen, C., Nguyen, T.G., Nguyen, L.V., Pham, H.Q., Nguyen, T.H., Pham, H.T., Nguyen, H.T., Ha, T.T., Dau, T.H., Vu, H.T., Nguyen, D.D., Nguyen, N.T.T., Nguyen, N.H., Dong, Q.V., Chu, H.H., & Dinh, K.D., (2016). De novo assembly and
- transcriptome characterization of major growth-related genes in various tissues of *Penaeus monodon. Aquaculture,* 464, 545-553. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2016.08.003
- Nielsen, H.B., Almeida, M., Juncker, A.S., Rasmussen, S., Li, J., Sunagawa, S., Plichta, D.R., Gautier, L., Pedersen, A.G., Le Chatelier, E., Pelletier, E., Bonde, I., Nielsen, T., Manichanh, C., Arumugam, M., Batto, J.M., Quintanilha, Dos Santos, M.B., Blom, N., Borruel, N., Burgdorf, K.S., Boumezbeur, F., Casellas, F., Doré, J., Dworzynski, P., Guarner, F., Hansen, T., Hildebrand, F., Kaas, R.S., Kennedy, S., Kristiansen, K., Kultima, J.R., Léonard, P., Levenez, F., Lund, O., Moumen, B., Le Paslier, D., Pons, N., Pedersen, O., Prifti, E., Qin, J., Raes, J., Sørensen, S., Tap, J., Tims, S., Ussery, D.W., Yamada, T., MetaHIT Consortium., Renault, P., Sicheritz-Ponten, T., Bork, P., Wang, J., Brunak, S., Ehrlich, S.D.; & MetaHIT Consortium. (2014). Identification and assembly of genomes and genetic elements in complex metagenomic samples without using reference genomes. Nature Biotechnology, 32, 822-828. https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2939
- Ninawe, A.S., & Joseph, S. (2009). Probiotics in shrimp aquaculture: Avenues and Challenges. *Critical Reviews in Microbiology*, 35 (1), 43–66. https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10408410802667202

Oxley, A.P., Shipton, W., Owens, L., & McKay, D. (2002). Bacterial flora from the gut of the wild and cultured banana prawn, *Penaeus merguiensis*. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 93 (2), 214–223.

https://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2672.2002.01673.x

- Perez, T., Balcazar, J.L., Ruiz-Zarzuela, I., Halaihel, N., Vendrell, D., de Blas, I., & Múzquiz, J.L. (2010). Host-microbiota interactions within the fish intestinal ecosystem. *Mucosal Immunology*, 3 (4), 355–360. https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/mi.2010.12
- Rungrassamee, W., Klanchui, A., Chaiyapechara, S., Maibunkaew, S., Tangphatsornruang, S., Jiravanichpaisal, P., & Karoonuthaisiri, N. (2013).
  Bacterial population in intestines of the black tiger shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*) under different growth stages. *PLoS One*, 8 (4), e60802. https://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0060802
- Rungrassamee, W., Klanchui, A., Maibunkaew, S., Chaiyapechara, S., Jiravanichpaisal, P., & Karoonuthaisiri, N. (2014). Characterization of intestinal bacteria in wild and domesticated adult black tiger shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*). *PLoS One*, 9 (3), e91853. https://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0091853
- Schippers, K.J., Sipkema, D., Osinga, R., Smidt, H., Pomponi, S.A., Martens, D.E., & Wijffels, R.H. (2012). Cultivation of sponges, sponge cells and symbionts: achievements and future prospects. *Advances in Marine Biology*, 62, 273-337. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-394283-8.00006-0
- Sekirov, I., Russell, S.L., Antunes, L.C., & Finlay, B.B. (2010). Gut microbiota in health and disease. *Physiological Reviews*, 90 (3), 859-904.

https://dx.doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00045.2009

Sha, Y., Liu, M., Wang, B., Jiang, K., Qi, C., & Wang, L. (2016). Bacterial Population in Intestines of *Litopenaeus* vannamei Fed Different Probiotics or Probiotic Supernatant. *Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 26 (10), 1736-1745.

https://dx.doi.org/10.4014/jmb.1603.03078

- Shakibazadeh, S., Saad, C.R., Hafezieh, M., Christianus, A., Saleh K.M., & Sijam K. (2012). A putative probiotic isolated from hatchery reared juvenile *Penaeus monodon. Iranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences*, 11 (4), 849-866.
- Soonthornchai, W., Rungrassamee, W., Karoonuthaisiri, N., Jarayabhand, P., Klinbunga, S., Soderhall, K., & Jiravanichpaisal, P. (2010). Expression of immunerelated genes in the digestive organ of shrimp, *Penaeus* monodon, after an oral infection by Vibrio harveyi. Developmental & Comparative Immunology, 34 (1), 19-28. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2009.07.007

- Soto-Rodriguez, S.A., Gomez-Gil, B., & Lozano, R., (2010). 'Bright-red' syndrome in Pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* is caused by *Vibrio harveyi*. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*, 92 (1), 11-19. https://dx.doi.org/10.3354/dao02274
- Stockstad, E. (2010). Down on the shrimp farm. *Science*, 328 (5985), 1504-1505. https://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.328.5985.1504
- Sullam, K.E., Essinger, S.D., Lozupone, C.A., O'Connor, M.P., Rosen, G.L., Knight, R., Kilham, S.S., & Russell, J.A. (2012). Environmental and ecological factors that shape the gut bacterial communities of fish: a meta-analysis. *Molecular Ecology*, 21 (13), 3363-3378. https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05552.x
- Tran, L., Nunan, L., Redman. R.M., Mohney. L, L., Pantoja, C.R., Fitzsimmons, K., & Lightner, D.V. (2013). Determination of the infectious nature of the agent of acute hepatopancreatic necrosis syndrome affecting penaeid shrimp. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*, 105 (1), 45-55. https://dx.doi.org/10.3354/dao02621
- Wang, Q., Garrity, G.M., Tiedje, J.M., & Cole, J.R. (2007). Naive Bayesian classifier for rapid assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 73 (16), 5261-5267. https://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00062-07
- Wang, C.Z., Lin, G.R., Yan, T., Zheng, Z.P., Chen, B., & Sun, F.L. (2014). The cellular community in the intestine of the shrimp *Penaeus penicillatus* and its culture environments. *Fisheries Science*, 80 (5), 1001–1007. https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12562-014-0765-3
- Vaz-Moreira, I., Egas, C., Nunes, O.C., & Manaia, C.M. (2011). Culture-dependent and culture-independent diversity surveys target different bacteria: A case study in a freshwater sample. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek*, 100 (2), 245-257. https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10482-011-9583-0
- Yousefian, M., & Amiri, M.S. (2009). A review of the use of prebiotic in aquaculture for fish and shrimp. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 8 (25), 7313-7318.
- Yu, Y., Lee, C., Kim, J., & Hwang, S. (2005). Group-specific primer and probe sets to detect methanogenic communities using quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 89 (6), 670–679. https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/bit.20347
- Zheng, Y., Yu, M., Liu, J., Qiao, Y., Wang, L., Li, Z., Zhang, X.H., & Yu, M. (2017). Bacterial Community Associated with Healthy and Diseased Pacific White Shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) Larvae and Rearing Water across