



## Mouth Size and Body Length Relations for Freshwater Fish Species

Kyritsi S.<sup>1,\*</sup>, Moutopoulos, D.K.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Alexander Technological Educational Institute of Thessaloniki, Department of Agricultural Technology, Division of Animal Production.

<sup>2</sup> Technological Educational Institute of Western Greece, Department of Fisheries-Aquaculture Technology, 30200 Mesolonghi.

\* Corresponding Author: Tel.: +30.231 0013567;  
E-mail: stakir@gmail.com

Received 08 May 2017  
Accepted 05 January 2018

### Abstract

We estimated the relationships between total vertical, horizontal and mouth area with total length for seven fish species caught from Lake Volvi (Northern Greece) during September 2016-February 2017. All relationships were significantly allometric ( $P < 0.05$ ) and were described by the power regression with  $r^2$  values ranging from 0.435 to 0.882. The establishment of such relationships is important for defining the ecological position of species in the food web, as well as for fishery management purposes.

**Keywords:** Mouth dimensions, mouth area, length–mouth relationships; lakes.

### Introduction

The relationships between mouth dimension and body size are used to: (a) quantify size-based feeding patterns, (b) determine the types of prey consumed, (c) identify predator–prey relationships, (d) define the ecological position of organisms within food and (e) for fisheries management purposes (e.g. hook type & size, bait size, etc) (Erzini *et al.*, 1997; Karpouzi & Stergiou, 2003; and references therein). Although such studies have been extensively presented in the Mediterranean marine waters (Karpouzi and Stergiou 2003; Karachle and Stergiou 2012), they are generally lacking for the European (e.g., Lammens & Visser, 1989) and specifically for Greek freshwater systems (i.e. Bobori *et al.* 2006; Chalkia & Bobori 2006; Karachle *et al.* 2014).

In the present study the relationships of horizontal (HMO) and vertical (VMO) mouth opening and mouth area (MA) with total length (TL) were estimated for seven freshwater fish species in Lake Volvi: *Abramis brama* (Linnaeus, 1758), *Alosa macedonica* (Vinciguerra, 1921), *Carassius gibelio* (Bloch, 1782), *Cyprinus carpio* Linnaeus, 1758, *Esox lucius* Linnaeus, 1758, *Perca fluviatilis* Linnaeus, 1758 and *Rutilus rutilus* (Linnaeus, 1758). Four of these seven species (*A. brama*, *C. gibelio*, *C. carpio*, *P. fluviatilis*) have been also presented by Karachle *et al.* (2014) concerning the same area and here we expand the corresponding relationships by including larger sizes of the same species that exclusively represent the

commercial part of the professional fisheries catches. The above relations are of high importance, because they quantify prey-size related feeding patterns and thus define the ecological niche of species (Karachle & Stergiou, 2012).

### Materials and Methods

Sampling was *in situ* conducted from September 2016 to February 2017 (10 fishing trials), in Lake Volvi with a small-scale fishing vessel (5.80 m of length) using gillnets of different mesh sizes (from 24 mm to 80 mm measured from knot to knot) depending on the targeted species. Lake Volvi (surface area of 68 Km<sup>2</sup>) located in Northern Greece (40°41'N 23°28'E) and consists the second largest natural lake of Greece with significant fisheries exploitation and high fisheries landings (Bobori & Economidis, 2006).

TL, HMO and VMO were measured to the nearest 0.1 cm for 973 individuals. Considering that MA shape has an elliptic form (Erzini *et al.*, 1997), MA (in cm<sup>2</sup>) was estimated based on the following equation:

$$MA = \pi * (HMO/2) * (VMO/2), \text{ where } \pi = 3.14$$

For establishing relationships between HMO–TL, VMO–TL, and MA–TL the power regression ( $Y = aX^b$ ) was used, where  $a$  is the coefficient of shape, and  $b$  is the exponent indicating the dimensional balance (Leonart, Salat, & Torres, 2000). Allometric

**Table 1.** Relationships between horizontal mouth opening (HMO), vertical mouth opening (VMO) and mouth area (MA) with total body length (TL) for seven fish species from the Lake Volvi (North Greece). n = number of individuals; SE<sub>b</sub> = standard error of slope b; r<sup>2</sup> = coefficient of determination. All relationships were highly significant (P<0.01). \* indicates the species for which mouth dimensions were firstly presented

Family/Species	N	TL range	HMO=aTL <sup>b</sup>	SE <sub>b</sub>	r <sup>2</sup> <sub>HMO</sub>	VMO=aTL <sup>b</sup>	SE <sub>b</sub>	r <sup>2</sup> <sub>VMO</sub>	MA=aTL <sup>b</sup>	SE <sub>b</sub>	r <sup>2</sup> <sub>MA</sub>
<i>Abramis brama</i>	90	24.5-51.5	y=0.0096x <sup>1.374</sup>	0.167	0.435	y=0.0009x <sup>1.483</sup>	1.127	0.607	y=5*10 <sup>-5</sup> x <sup>2.858</sup>	0.254	0.590
<i>Alosa macedonica</i> *	197	15.0-26.5	y=0.0350x <sup>1.174</sup>	0.081	0.521	y=0.2248x <sup>0.814</sup>	0.052	0.554	y=0.0062x <sup>1.987</sup>	0.103	0.658
<i>Carassius gibelio</i>	136	23.5-37.8	y=0.0533x <sup>1.061</sup>	0.069	0.636	y=0.0628x <sup>1.060</sup>	0.069	0.637	y=0.0026x <sup>2.121</sup>	0.106	0.748
<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	147	36.0-91.0	y=0.0029x <sup>1.624</sup>	0.109	0.604	y=0.0010x <sup>1.923</sup>	0.107	0.689	y=2*10 <sup>-6</sup> x <sup>3.557</sup>	0.190	0.708
<i>Esox lucius</i> *	117	33.0-85.5	y=0.1890x <sup>0.898</sup>	0.039	0.816	y=0.2466x <sup>0.831</sup>	0.036	0.821	y=0.0366x <sup>1.729</sup>	0.058	0.882
<i>Perca fluviatilis</i>	101	21.0-49.0	y=0.1933x <sup>0.902</sup>	0.054	0.733	y=0.2347x <sup>0.751</sup>	0.066	0.565	y=0.0356x <sup>1.653</sup>	0.099	0.736
<i>Rutilus rutilus</i> *	156	16.1-35.0	y=0.0106x <sup>1.518</sup>	0.094	0.593	y=0.0638x <sup>1.039</sup>	0.067	0.571	y=0.0005x <sup>2.557</sup>	0.115	0.728

model, instead of other types of models (i.e., linear, exponential, and logarithmic), is the most suitable for identifying changes in body shape (e.g. Leonart *et al.* 2000; Katsanevakis *et al.* 2007; Karachle and Stergiou, 2012).

## Results and Discussion

Overall, 973 individuals were measured with sample size ranging from 90, for *A. brama*, to 197, for the Volvi endemic *A. macedonica* and all relations were significantly (P<0.05) described by the power regression (Table 1). For all studied species the slopes of the regressions were significantly different from null (P<0.05) with r<sup>2</sup> values being higher than 0.435 (for *A. brama* for HMO-TL).

Power regression is the type of the relationship mostly appropriate to be used when dealing with morphometric data (Leonart *et al.* 2000). The comparison of the relationships for the species included both in the present study and in Karachle *et al.* (2014) showed higher MA in the former for *A. brama*, *C. gibelio* and *C. carpio* and lower MA for *P. fluviatilis*. This was might be due to different length ranges, because almost half of the individuals sampled here were larger than the maximum length reported in Karachle *et al.* (2014) depending on species. Concluding, the estimated relations will facilitate estimating the trophic level of species, for which estimates are generally lacking to freshwater systems (Bobori *et al.*, 2013).

## References

- Bobori, D.C., & Economides, P.S. (2006). Freshwater fishes of Greece: Their biodiversity, fisheries and habitats. *Aquatic Ecosystem Health and Management*, 9(4), 407-418. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14634980601027855>
- Bobori, D.C., Tsikliras, A.C., & Economidis, N. (2006). Some morphological and biological characteristics of fishes from Tavropos reservoir (western Greece). *Folia Zoologica*, 55(2), 199-210.
- Bobori, D.C., Salvarina, I. & Michaloudi, E. (2013). Fish dietary patterns in the eutrophic Lake Volvi (East Mediterranean). *Journal of Biological Research*, 19, 139-149.
- Chalkia, V.V., & Bobori, D.C. (2006). Length-length and length-mouth dimensions relationships of freshwater fishes of Northern Greece. P. 21. In: 10<sup>th</sup> International Congress on the Zoogeography and Ecology of Greece and Adjacent Regions, 26-30 June 2006, Patra, Greece.
- Erzini, K., Gonçalves, J.M.S., Bentes, L. & Lino, P.G. (1997). Fish mouth dimensions and size selectivity in a Portuguese longline fishery. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 13, 41-44. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.1997.tb00097.x>
- Karachle, P.K., Salvarina, I. & Bobori, D.C. (2014). Mouth dimensions for seven freshwater species. In: Stergiou K.I., D.C. Bobori, F.G. Ekmeçi, M. Gökoğlu, P.K. Karachle, G. Minos, Y. Özvarol, I. Salvarina, A.S. Tarkan, L. Vilizzi (eds), *New Fisheries-related data from the Mediterranean Sea (April, 2014)*. *Mediterranean Marine Science*, 15/1, 213-224. <http://dx.doi.org/10.12681/mms.738>
- Karachle, P.K. & Stergiou, K.I. (2012). Morphometrics and Allometry in Fishes, Morphometrics. In: Christina Wahl (eds), ISBN: 978-953-51-0172-7, InTech, Available from: <http://www.intechopen.com/books/morphometrics/morphometrics-and-allometry-in-fishes>
- Karpouzi, V.S., & Stergiou, K.I. (2003). The relationships between mouth size and shape and body length for 18 species of marine fishes and their trophic implications. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 62 (6), 1353-1365. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1095-8649.2003.00118.x>
- Katsanevakis, S., Thessalou-Legaki, M., Karlou-Riga, C., Lefkaditou, E., Dimitriou, E. & Verriopoulos, G. (2007). Information theory approach to allometric growth of marine organisms. *Marine Biology*, 151, 949-959. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00227-006-0529-4>
- Lammens, E.H.R.R. & Visser, J.T. (1989). Variability of mouth width in European eel, *Anguilla anguilla*, in relation to varying feeding conditions in three Dutch lakes. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 26(1), 63-75.
- Leonart, J., Salat, J. & Torres, G.J. (2000). Removing allometric effects of body size in morphological analysis. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 205(1), 85-93. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jtbi.2000.2043>