



Role of Phytase Supplementation in Improving Growth Parameters and Mineral Digestibility of *Catla catla* Fingerlings Fed Moringa by-Products Based Test Diet

Muhammad Mudassar Shahzad¹, Syed Makhdoom Hussain^{1,*}, Arshad Javid², Majid Hussain³

¹ Government College University, Department of Zoology, Fish Nutrition Lab, Faisalabad, Pakistan.

² University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Department of Wildlife and Ecology, Lahore, Pakistan.

³ University of Gujrat, Department of Zoology, Gujrat, Pakistan.

* Corresponding Author: Tel.: +92 42 111 000 010;
E-mail: drmakhdoom90@gmail.com

Received 01 January 2017
Accepted 07 August 2017

Abstract

A 90-days feeding trial was conducted to determine the influence of phytase on growth and mineral availability to *Catla catla* fingerlings fed mixture of *Moringa oleifera* leaf meal (MOLM) and *M. oleifera* seed meal (MOSM) based diets. Due to the presence of anti-nutritional factors in plant by-products based diets, reduced mineral availability to fish results in poor fish growth performance. Phytase enzyme is beneficial to decrease these anti-nutritional effects of plant based diets. MOLM+MOSM mixture was used to prepare six test diets that were supplemented with graded levels (0, 300, 600, 900, 1200 and 1500 FTU kg⁻¹) of phytase. Fingerlings were fed at the rate of 4% live wet weight twice a day. On the basis of results it was noted that addition of phytase showed significant ($P < 0.05$) enhancement in bioavailability of minerals, resulting in improved growth performance (weight gain 229%, SGR 1.32 and FCR 1.3). Maximum (ADC%) of minerals was noted at 900 FTU kg⁻¹ level of phytase supplemented MOLM+MOSM based diet. On the basis of these results it was suggested that phytase supplementation at 900 FTU kg⁻¹ level is helpful to develop an eco-friendly and cost effective fish feed by using moringa by-products based diet.

Keywords: MOLM+MOSM, *Catla catla*, mineral digestibility, growth performance, phytase.

Introduction

Catla catla is commonly known as Thaila. It is surface feeder and being cultured in Pakistan with other fish species (Aslam, Abbas, Kalhoro, & Shoab, 2016). The reported production of this fish has been increased during the first decade of 21st century and in 2012 was about 2.8 million tons per annum (FAO, 2015).

In human diet, fish is being used as a quality protein supplement and to resolve the problem of food shortage (Sheikh & Sheikh, 2004). Demand for fish consumption is constantly increasing as a food source and for health benefits (Tiamiyu, Okomoda, & Aende, 2016). As fish is the most important source of protein, it also needs a high amount of protein in its own diet. Nearly 40% of shellfish and fish that is being eaten by human are reared in aquaculture sector (Chabi *et al.*, 2015). Fish meal (FM) is a major protein source in aqua feeds, for different fish species because it is an excellent source of essential minerals, indispensable amino acids, essential fatty acids, and attractants (Dawood, Koshio, Ishikawa, & Yokoyama, 2015). Feed primarily accounts for 50 to 60% of total cost in fish culture (Essa, Mabrouk, & Zaki, 2004). The main

objective for most fish farmers is to produce high quality fish feed at low cost. However, increasing demand, unstable supply and high price of the fish meal with the expansion of aquaculture made it necessary to search for alternative protein sources (Hardy, 2010; FAO, 2014). Many efforts have been conducted to reduce fishmeal consumption and utilization of alternative protein sources in diets (Barnes, Brown, & Rosentrator, 2012; Dedeke, Owa, Olurin, Akinfe, & Awotedu, 2013). Plant proteins, which are usually considered as low cost as FM, have been utilized as a substitute in diets for fresh water fishes (Hussain *et al.*, 2014; Hussain *et al.*, 2015a; Wang *et al.*, 2015).

The use of plant by products singly or in combination of two or more than two plant by products appears to be economically beneficial in terms of the cost (Enterria *et al.*, 2011). Plant by products contains several anti-nutritional factors, which limit its utilization in fish diets (Plaipetch & Yakupitiyage, 2014; Hussain *et al.*, 2015a). Some anti-nutritional factors can be partially removed by proper heat treatment, soaking and extraction procedures (Liener, 1994), except phytate, a cyclic inositol compound containing six phosphate groups, is

relatively heat stable and cannot be efficiently removed without enzymatic reactions (Vielma, Makinen, Ekholm, & Koskela, 2000). A high content of phytic acid also has an adverse impact on growth and reduces the digestibility minerals (Hussain, Afzal, Rana, Javid, & Hussain, 2011; Hussain *et al.*, 2015a; Dawood *et al.*, 2015). Phytic acid and its salt form phytate, represent 60-80% of total phosphorus in plant based feeds (Lei, Weaver, Mullaney, Ullah, & Azain, 2013). Phytate-bound phosphorus is not used by monogastric animals such as fish and causes water pollution (NRC, 1993). Furthermore, phytate may interfere with the availability of other minerals (Liener, 1994; Hussain *et al.*, 2011) and can bind with trypsin and decrease protein availability in fish (Spinelli, Houle, & Wekell, 1983). There is a need of a specific enzyme that can breakdown the phytate and decreases the problems of digestibility for fish. Phytase is an enzyme that is used for hydrolyses of phytic acid or its salt phytate to myo-inositol and phosphorus (Lei *et al.*, 2013). Phytase supplementation in plant by products is being extensively used to get free phosphorus from phytate complexes (Lim & Lee, 2009). Supplemental dietary phytase is an effective method to improve the mineral digestibility, FCR and decreases the water pollution by proper digestion and absorption of phosphorus (Liu, Su, Zhang, Liang, & Luo, 2013; Hussain *et al.*, 2011; Hussain *et al.* 2015a).

M. oleifera is a promising protein source when included in fish diets at low levels (Chiseva, 2006). *M. oleifera* leaf meal (MOLM) have a relatively high crude protein content which varies from 25% (Makkar & Becker, 1996) to 32% (Soliva *et al.*, 2005). Leaves of moringa are the best source of high number of nutrients and minerals (Bosh, 2004; Grubben & Denton, 2004). *M. oleifera* seed meal (MOSM) contains essential minerals such as Ca, K, Fe, Mg, Cu and Zn etc. (Anjorin, Ikokoh, & Okolo, 2010). It is also a good source of protein (332.5 to 383.0 g kg⁻¹), important vitamins, essential amino acids i.e. methionine, cystine, tryptophan (Makkar & Becker, 1996).

Therefore, the present research was focused on to find out the best and least cost protein sources using phytase supplemented MOLM+MOSM based diet for commercially important specie *C. catla* to enhance its production and to overcome the problems of expensive fish meal.

Materials and Methods

The current experimental work was conducted to explore the effects of phytase on growth performance and ADC% of minerals to *C. catla* fingerlings fed MOLM+MOSM based test diets. The study trial was performed in Fish Nutrition Lab, Department of Zoology, Government College University Faisalabad, Pakistan.

Fish and Experimental Conditions

Fingerlings of *C. catla* were procured from the Government Fish Seed Hatchery, Satiana Road, Faisalabad. For fourteen days, fish fingerlings were acclimatized to the laboratory conditions before the start of experiment. Fingerlings were stocked in specially designed V-shaped tanks having 70L water capacity. Fish fingerlings were fed once a day on basal diet during the acclimatization period (Allan & Rowland, 1992). Water quality parameters such as dissolved oxygen (DO), pH as well as temperature were observed on daily basis. Air pump was used to supply air by capillary system through-out the study period. Prior to the start of experimental work, fingerlings were bathed for 1 to 2 minutes with 0.5% saline solution to kill the pathogens if present (Rowland & Ingram, 1991).

Experimental Design

MOLM and MOSM were used as major experimental feed ingredients to prepare six test diets and supplemented with graded phytase levels (0, 300, 600, 900, 1200 and 1500 FTU kg⁻¹). Six fish groups were stocked in water tanks. They were fed on control diet (0 FTU kg⁻¹) and five phytase supplemented MOLM+MOSM based test diets. Triplicate tanks were used for each treatment and in each replicate 15 fingerlings were stocked. Experimental duration of trial was 90-days. Each MOLM+MOSM based diet supplemented with phytase was compared with other diets and control diet to determine growth performance and ADC% of minerals by using Completely Randomized Design (CRD).

Processing of *M. oleifera* by-Products

Fresh moringa leaves were collected from the local garden and washed to remove the dirt and dust particles. The leaves were drained appropriately and dried for six days under shady place to avoid the damage of vitamins by photo-dynamic oxidation or damage. Dried leaves of moringa were separated from the stalks to decrease crude fibers in the diet (Madalla, Agbo, & Jauncey, 2013). *M. oleifera* seeds were obtained from local market of Faisalabad. Seeds were air-dried and de-fatted by press method (Weiss, 1971; Salem & Makkar, 2009).

Formation of Feed Pellets

Ingredients used for preparation of fish feed were procured from market and were pressed by grinding method with size of 0.3 mm. Before the experimental diet preparation, chemical composition of feed ingredients was analyzed (Table 1) by following standard methods (AOAC, 1995). Cr₂O₃ was used as inert marker at the rate of 1% in all the test diets. Feed mixer was used to mix all feed

ingredients for 5-10 minutes whereas fish oil was also added during this process. Suitably textured dough was prepared by slowly blending of feed ingredients in mixer after adding 10-15% of tap water. The prepared dough was further processed through pelleting machine to formulate feed pellets (Lovell, 1989). One control and five phytase supplemented test diets were prepared by using MOLM+MOSM by spraying different phytase levels (0, 300, 600, 900, 1200 and 1500 FTU kg⁻¹) (Table 2). The required concentrations of phytase enzyme were formulated in 25ml of distilled H₂O and sprayed on each experimental diet (Robinson, Li, & Manning, 2002). Control diet (0 FTU kg⁻¹ level) was also sprayed with similar quantity of H₂O to conserve the equivalent amount of moisture. After drying, diets were stored at 4°C until use.

Feeding Protocol and Sample Collection

C. catla fingerlings were weighed and fed on their prescribed diet at the rate of 4% of body weight twice a day. Uneaten diet was collected to estimate FCR. The water was drained out after two hours of feeding period to eliminate the uneaten diet particles. Faeces were collected by opening fecal collecting tube of each tank. Fecal material was collected carefully to avoid breakage of faeces for minimizing the leaching of minerals in water. Feces were dried at

65°C in oven, stored for further analysis.

Growth Study

Fifteen fingerlings of average weight (8.07±0.041g fish⁻¹) were stocked in each replicate. The fish were bulk weighed in each tank on fortnightly basis throughout the whole experimental period to evaluate the growth performance of *C. catla* fingerlings. Growth parameters such as weight gain (g), FCR (feed conversion ratio), SGR (specific growth rate) and weight gain (%) of fingerlings were calculated by using standard formulae (NRC, 1993).

$$\text{Weight gain \%} = \frac{(\text{Final weight} - \text{Initial weight})}{\text{Initial weight}} \times 100$$

$$\text{FCR} = \frac{\text{Total dry feed intake (g)}}{\text{Wet weight gain (g)}}$$

$$\text{SGR\%} = \frac{(\ln. \text{ final wt. of fish} - \ln. \text{ initial wt. of fish})}{\text{Trial day}} \times 100$$

Chemical Analysis of Minerals

1g of the sample (feed and feces) was weighed for mineral estimation. Weighed samples were taken in open mouth conical flask. Before putting on hot plate, HNO₃ (20ml) was added in the flask. 10ml of per-chloric acid was added before placing it on hot plate. Heat the mixture until it left only 1ml then

Table 1. Chemical composition (%) of feed ingredients (Dry matter basis)

Ingredients	MOLM+MOSM (Mixture)	Fish meal	Rice polish	Wheat flour	Corn gluten 60%
Dry matter (%)	92.13	91.67	94.06	92.4	92.34
Crude Protein (%)	32.22	48.17	12.38	10.15	59.51
Crude Fat (%)	4.02	7.12	13.46	2.3	4.58
Gross Energy (kcal/g)	14.05	2.65	3.18	2.95	4.35
Crude Fiber (%)	9.27	1.12	12.74	2.67	1.23
Ash (%)	3.98	24.66	10.17	2.06	1.36
Carbohydrates	36.46	16.28	48.07	79.87	28.97

Table 2. Ingredients composition (%) of control and test diets (Dry matter basis)

Ingredients	Test Diet-I (Control)	Test Diet-II	Test Diet-III	Test Diet-IV	Test Diet-V	Test Diet-VI
	Phytase Level (FTU kg ⁻¹)					
	0	300	600	900	1200	1500
MOLM+MOSM	35	35	35	35	35	35
Fish meal	15	15	15	15	15	15
Soybean meal	15	15	15	15	15	15
Wheat flour*	17	17	17	17	17	17
Rice polish	8	8	8	8	8	8
Fish oil	6	6	6	6	6	6
Vitamin Premix	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Chromic oxide	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Ascorbic acid	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Mineral mixture	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

MOLM= *M. oleifera* leaf meal, MOSM= *M. oleifera* seed meal

*Phytase enzyme was used at the expense of wheat flour

diluted by 50ml of H₂O after removing from hot plate. Filter the solution to eliminate all particles remained in digestion solution before the mineral analysis. Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (Hitachi Polarized Zeeman AAS, Z-8200, Japan) was used to estimate minerals from diluted mixture using AOAC (1995) methods. Na and K were estimated by flame photometer (Jenway PFP-7, UK). UV/VIS spectrophotometer at 720 nm absorbance was used to determine phosphorous contents in the experimental diets and feces (AOAC, 1995).

Calculation of Mineral's apparent Digestibility Coefficient (ADC%)

ADC% of minerals was estimated by using standard formula (NRC, 1993).

$$\text{ADC (\%)} = 100 - 100 \times \frac{\% \text{ minerals in faeces} \times \% \text{ marker in diet}}{\% \text{ minerals in diet} \times \% \text{ marker in faeces}}$$

Statistical Analysis

Finally, data of growth and ADC% of minerals (K, Ca, Fe, Na, Cu, Mn, Zn, P, Mg, Al, Cr, Sr, Pb, Ba, Cd, Co, Mo and Ni) were subjected to one-way ANOVA (Steel *et al.*, 1960). Tukey's Honest Significant Difference Test was used to compare the differences among treatments and was considered significant at $P < 0.05$ (Snedecor & Cochran, 1991). For statistical analysis, CoStat Computer Package (Version 6.303, PMB 320, Monterey, CA, 93940 USA) was used.

Results

In the present study, different levels of microbial phytase (0, 300, 600, 900, 1200 and 1500 FTU kg⁻¹) were used in MOLM+MOSM based test diets, to determine the effects of this enzyme on growth

performance of *C. catla* fingerlings. Maximum weight gain (18g), WG% (229%) and SGR (1.32) of *C. catla* fingerlings fed mixture of moringa by-products (MOLM+MOSM) based test diets was observed at 900 FTU kg⁻¹ level based diet. Next higher WG (16g), WG% (203%) and SGR (1.23) was observed in fish fed 600 FTU kg⁻¹ level based diet. These were significantly ($P < 0.05$) different from the lowest (12g) weight gain found in fish fed on control and other phytase supplemented test diets (Table 3). It was noted that WG and WG% was started increasing from 300 FTU kg⁻¹ level and reached to maximum at 900 FTU kg⁻¹ level based diet. Interestingly further phytase supplementation could not increase the WG, WG% and SGR of fish. In term of FCR values, lowest FCR (1.30) was again noted in fish fed on test diet III (900 FTU kg⁻¹ level based diet) followed by (1.38) at 600 FTU kg⁻¹ level. These FCR values were statistically ($P < 0.05$) different from the values observed for control and each other test diet. Whereas again highest FCR (1.68) was noted in fish fed 0 FTU kg⁻¹ level based diet (Table 3).

All the observed minerals such as Ca, Na, K, Fe, Cu, Zn, Mn, P, Mg, Al, Cr, Sr and Pb were statistically ($P < 0.05$) similar in control and phytase supplemented test diets (Table 4). Whereas some of the minerals (Ba, Cd, Co, Mo and Ni) were very low from the range (< 0.0001) in diets and could not be noted samples, so ADC% of these minerals could not be determined. It was observed that there was highest mineral discharge through feces when *C. catla* fed control diet that was significantly ($P < 0.05$) different from each test diet. Decrease in mineral excretion through fish faeces was noted after the phytase supplementation from 300 FTU kg⁻¹ level and reached its minimum at 900 FTU kg⁻¹ level based diet then it again started to increase till 1500 FTU kg⁻¹ level based diet (Table 5). Lowest mineral discharge at 900 FTU kg⁻¹ level based diet showed that this is the most optimum level of phytase supplementation in

Table 3. Growth performance of *C. catla* fingerlings fed graded levels of phytase supplemented moringa by-products (MOLM and MOSM) mixture based diets

Growth parameters	Test Diet –I	Test Diet –II	Test Diet –III	Test Diet –IV	Test Diet –V	Test Diet –VI
	(Control diet)					
	Phytase levels (FTU kg ⁻¹)					
	0	300	600	900	1200	1500
IW (g)	8.12±0.03	8.10±0.01	8.10±0.06	8.05±0.03	8.05±0.02	8.04±0.03
FW (g)	20.18±0.1 ^f	21.42±0.2 ^e	24.59±0.1 ^b	26.49±0.1 ^a	23.50±0.1 ^c	22.20±0.1 ^d
WG (g)	12.06±0.11 ^f	13.32±0.21 ^e	16.49±0.11 ^b	18.44±0.09 ^a	15.45±0.08 ^c	14.16±0.13 ^d
WG (%)	148.57±1.8 ^f	164.40±2.4 ^e	203.50±0.2 ^b	229.16±1.4 ^a	192.01±1.4 ^c	176.08±2.1 ^d
FI	0.23±0.003 ^d	0.24±0.004 ^c	0.25±0.003 ^b	0.27±0.002 ^a	0.25±0.001 ^b	0.24±0.002 ^c
WG (fish ⁻¹ day ⁻¹)g	0.13±0.001 ^f	0.15±0.002 ^e	0.18±0.001 ^b	0.20±0.001 ^a	0.17±0.001 ^c	0.16±0.001 ^d
FCR	1.68±0.01 ^f	1.59±0.003 ^e	1.38±0.01 ^b	1.30±0.003 ^a	1.44±0.001 ^c	1.52±0.004 ^d
SGR	1.01±0.01 ^f	1.08±0.01 ^e	1.23±0.001 ^b	1.32±0.005 ^a	1.19±0.01 ^c	1.13±0.01 ^d

Means within rows having different superscripts are significantly different at $P < 0.05$

Data are means of three replicates

IW= Initial Weight, FW= Final Weight, WG= Weight gain, FI= Feed Intake, SGR= Specific Growth Rate, FCR= Feed Conversion Ratio

Table 4. Analyzed mineral composition (%) of MOLM+MOSM mixture test and control diets

Minerals	Test Diet –I (Control diet)	Test Diet –II	Test Diet –III	Test Diet –IV	Test Diet –V	Test Diet –VI
	Phytase levels (FTU kg ⁻¹)					
	0	300	600	900	1200	1500
Ca	1.31±0.04	1.32±0.06	1.32±0.05	1.31±0.05	1.32±0.05	1.33±0.05
Na	0.049±0.007	0.052±0.007	0.051±0.007	0.051±0.007	0.051±0.009	0.050±0.005
K	0.97±0.07	0.95±0.04	0.95±0.09	0.94±0.07	0.95±0.06	0.94±0.06
Fe	0.038±0.004	0.040±0.004	0.040±0.005	0.040±0.005	0.039±0.004	0.041±0.006
Cu	0.0091±0.0004	0.0093±0.0005	0.0092±0.0007	0.0092±0.0005	0.0092±0.0005	0.0091±0.0004
Zn	0.0235±0.001	0.0233±0.001	0.0250±0.004	0.0250±0.004	0.0253±0.002	0.0243±0.004
Mn	0.035±0.003	0.035±0.003	0.036±0.005	0.037±0.004	0.036±0.004	0.035±0.005
P	1.58±0.06	1.55±0.07	1.57±0.06	1.55±0.07	1.56±0.04	1.57±0.05
Mg	0.0098±0.0004	0.0095±0.0003	0.0093±0.0005	0.0097±0.001	0.0097±0.0005	0.0094±0.0003
Al	0.00041±0.0000	0.00038±0.0000	0.00039±0.0000	0.00038±0.0000	0.00038±0.0000	0.00038±0.0000
Cr	0.068±0.005	0.065±0.003	0.066±0.002	0.065±0.004	0.068±0.002	0.066±0.003
Sr	0.00018±0.0000	0.00018±0.0000	0.00018±0.0000	0.00018±0.0000	0.00018±0.0000	0.00018±0.0000
Pb	0.0036±0.0003	0.0034±0.0003	0.0036±0.0003	0.0036±0.0003	0.0036±0.0005	0.0036±0.0002
Ba	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
Cd	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
Co	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
Mo	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
Ni	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001

Data are means of three replicates

Table 5. Analyzed mineral composition (%) in faeces of *C. catla* fed MOLM+MOSM mixture based diets

Minerals	Test Diet –I (Control diet)	Test Diet –II	Test Diet –III	Test Diet –IV	Test Diet –V	Test Diet –VI
	Phytase levels (FTU kg ⁻¹)					
	0	300	600	900	1200	1500
Ca	0.64±0.04 ^a	0.58±0.03 ^a	0.41±0.03 ^b	0.32±0.02 ^c	0.48±0.03 ^b	0.61±0.03 ^a
Na	0.027±0.003 ^a	0.024±0.003 ^{ab}	0.018±0.002 ^{bc}	0.015±0.002 ^c	0.019±0.004 ^{abc}	0.024±0.003 ^{ab}
K	0.48±0.04 ^a	0.43±0.02 ^{ab}	0.35±0.04 ^{bc}	0.33±0.03 ^{cd}	0.25±0.02 ^d	0.41±0.03 ^{abc}
Fe	0.024±0.002 ^a	0.021±0.002 ^{ab}	0.017±0.002 ^{bc}	0.014±0.002 ^c	0.014±0.001 ^c	0.017±0.002 ^{bc}
Cu	0.0054±0.0002 ^a	0.0049±0.0002 ^{ab}	0.0034±0.0002 ^c	0.0031±0.0001 ^c	0.0036±0.0002 ^c	0.0046±0.0002 ^b
Zn	0.012±0.0004 ^a	0.011±0.0002 ^{ab}	0.0061±0.0007 ^c	0.0087±0.001 ^{bc}	0.010±0.0007 ^{ab}	0.011±0.0017 ^{ab}
Mn	0.02±0.002 ^a	0.016±0.002 ^{ab}	0.013±0.002 ^{bc}	0.01±0.001 ^c	0.012±0.001 ^{bc}	0.015±0.002 ^{ab}
P	0.91±0.02 ^a	0.74±0.03 ^b	0.63±0.02 ^c	0.55±0.02 ^d	0.56±0.01 ^d	0.70±0.02 ^b
Mg	0.0067±0.0004 ^a	0.0056±0.0003 ^b	0.0042±0.0003 ^c	0.0035±0.0002 ^{de}	0.0033±0.0001 ^e	0.0041±0.0002 ^{cd}
Al	0.00025±0.00003 ^a	0.00022±0.0000	0.00016±0.0000	0.00016±0.00002	0.00015±0.00002 ^c	0.00017±0.00002 ^{bc}
Cr	0.047±0.003 ^a	0.038±0.001 ^b	0.036±0.001 ^b	0.026±0.002 ^d	0.026±0.001 ^d	0.031±0.001 ^c
Sr	0.00014±0.000004 ^a	0.00012±0.0000	0.00011±0.0000	0.000094±0.0000	0.000087±0.0000	0.000091±0.0000
Pb	0.0027±0.0002 ^a	0.0022±0.0002 ^b	0.0017±0.0001 ^c	0.0020±0.0002 ^{bc}	0.0021±0.0002 ^{bc}	0.0024±0.0001 ^{ab}

Means within rows having different superscripts are significantly different at P< 0.05

Data are means of three replicates

Table 6. Apparent mineral digestibility (%) of *C. catla* fingerlings fed MOLM+MOSM mixture based diets

Minerals	Test Diet –I (Control diet)	Test Diet –II	Test Diet –III	Test Diet –IV	Test Diet –V	Test Diet –VI
	Phytase levels (FTU kg ⁻¹)					
	0	300	600	900	1200	1500
Ca	53.46±0.85 ^e	58.47±0.89 ^d	70.24±0.74 ^b	77.05±0.76 ^a	65.82±0.89 ^c	56.38±0.78 ^d
Na	48.86±0.9 ^d	56.67±0.85 ^c	66.77±0.92 ^b	72.35±0.54 ^a	64.62±0.93 ^b	54.51±0.77 ^c
K	52.69±0.61 ^e	57.32±0.92 ^d	65.02±0.99 ^c	67.61±0.91 ^b	74.75±0.77 ^a	58.63±0.86 ^d
Fe	41.43±0.78 ^e	49.51±0.94 ^d	59.6±0.91 ^c	68.31±0.88 ^a	65.30±0.92 ^b	60.6±0.71 ^c
Cu	44.33±0.86 ^e	50.27±0.84 ^d	64.28±0.89 ^b	68.37±0.67 ^a	63.45±0.57 ^b	52.57±0.72 ^c
Zn	51.37±0.79 ^e	57.40±0.81 ^d	76.52±0.95 ^a	67.59±0.88 ^b	61.53±0.8 ^c	56.16±0.98 ^d
Mn	46.42±0.61 ^d	57.29±0.84 ^c	66.62±0.91 ^b	74.71±0.95 ^a	67.90±0.99 ^b	58.37±0.75 ^c
P	45.59±0.8 ^e	54.75±0.69 ^d	61.98±0.77 ^b	67.35±0.65 ^a	65.96±0.97 ^a	57.92±0.98 ^c
Mg	35.21±0.92 ^d	44.34±0.83 ^c	57.41±0.93 ^b	66.61±0.85 ^a	68.21±0.87 ^a	58.51±0.83 ^b
Al	41.57±0.73 ^e	46.39±0.67 ^d	59.52±0.83 ^{bc}	61.78±0.97 ^{ab}	62.54±0.86 ^a	57.76±0.87 ^c
Cr	35.45±0.95 ^e	44.5±0.78 ^d	48.19±0.99 ^c	63.45±0.92 ^a	64.7±0.87 ^a	54.39±0.98 ^b
Sr	26.29±0.48 ^e	35.72±0.74 ^d	40.62±0.97 ^c	52.53±0.98 ^{ab}	54.04±0.5 ^a	51.35±0.99 ^b
Pb	27.37±0.76 ^e	38.36±0.8 ^d	55.22±0.75 ^a	49.55±0.92 ^b	43.34±0.88 ^c	36.55±0.75 ^d

MOLM+MOSM based diet which resulted into environment friendly aquaculture by lowering mineral's excretion into water.

Table 6 shows that mineral digestibility was started to increase after supplementation of phytase from 300 FTU kg⁻¹ level based diet and reached to its maximum when fish fed 900 FTU kg⁻¹ level based diet. It was also noted that further increase in phytase supplementation could not improve the ADC% of minerals till 1500 FTU kg⁻¹ level. ADC% of minerals shows that maximum digestibility values of Ca (77%), Na (72%), Fe (68%), Cu (68%), Mn (75%), P (67%) and Mg (67%) were calculated for fish fed on 900 FTU kg⁻¹ level based diet followed by 600 and 1200 FTU kg⁻¹ level based diets. These values were significantly (P<0.05) different from values observed from test diets whereas Mg and P were statistically (P<0.05) similar with digestibility values observed for test diet-IV (1200 FTU kg⁻¹ level). On the other hand maximum K (75%), Al (62%), Cr (65%) and Sr (54%) digestibility was observed for fish fed at 1200 FTU kg⁻¹ level based diet in which values of Al, Cr and Sr were statistically (P<0.05) similar with the digestibility values calculated on 900 FTU kg⁻¹ level based diet. In contrary to these, highest Zn (76%) and Pb (55%) digestibility values were noted at 600 FTU kg⁻¹ level based diet and it was significantly (P<0.05) different from control and other test diets. It showed that phytase has released the chelated minerals from phytate in between 600 FTU kg⁻¹ level to 1200 FTU kg⁻¹ levels.

Discussions

Presence of phytate in feed reduces fish growth performance in terms of weight gain and FCR (Spinelli *et al.*, 1983). Maximum weight gain WG (18g), WG% (229%) and SGR (1.32) was observed in fish fed on 900 FTU kg⁻¹ level in MOLM+MOSM based diets. Nearly similar results were found in terms of WG and WG%, when common carp fingerlings were fed at 800 FTU kg⁻¹ supplemented plant-meal based diet (Bai, Qiao, Wei, Guo, & Qi, 2003). Nwanna, Eisenreich, and Schwarz (2007) also found a significant (P<0.05) increase in overall *Cyprinus carpio* WG and WG% at 750 and 1000 FTU kg⁻¹ levels based diets. In another study, positive results were found by Hussain *et al.* (2015b) but on a little different level (750 FTU kg⁻¹) of phytase supplementation. They found maximum weight gain (5g), weight gain % (68%) in *L. rohita* fingerlings fed canola meal based diet. This difference in findings for growth indices may be linked with many factors such as types of feed ingredients used, varying levels of phytase, processing methods of feed, pH of stomach and methods used for feed drying (Wang *et al.*, 2009).

In present study maximum weight gain (%) was found when *C. catla* fingerlings were fed on 900 FTU kg⁻¹ level based diet. Nearly similar results were observed in a study conducted by Hussain *et al.*

(2014), they found maximum weight gain and weight gain % of *C. mrigala* fingerlings fed soybean meal based diet supplemented with phytase at 1000 FTU kg⁻¹ level. Similarly, Yu and Wang (2000) also found the same results when fish was fed at 1000 FTU kg⁻¹ level based diet. In contrast, non-significant (P<0.05) effect of phytase supplementation was reported in case of *O. mykiss* growth when fingerlings were fed phytase supplemented plant meal based diet (Vielma *et al.*, 2000). In contradiction to the present findings many other researchers such as Robinson *et al.* (2002), Baruah, Pal, Narottam, and Debnath (2007a), Lim and Lee (2009) and Wang *et al.* (2009) did not found any significant (P<0.05) effect of phytase supplementation on fish growth performance, when these fish species were fed with or without phytase supplemented plant meal based diets. This variability in findings may be due to type of phytase enzyme, fish species, process of feed preparation, fish gut pH and age of fish (Kumar, Sinha, Makkar, De Boeck, & Becker, 2011; Dersjant-Li, Awati, Schulze, & Partridge, 2015). Furthermore, Kumar *et al.* (2011) suggested that for better results, phytase enzyme should be supplemented on the basis of earlier published information.

Current study showed lowest FCR value (1.30) of *C. catla* fingerlings fed on 900 FTU kg⁻¹ level in MOLM+MOSM based diet. The FCR value obtained at 900 FTU kg⁻¹ level was found best as compared to FCR of fish fed on control diet and other phytase supplemented test diets. Similar to our results Riche and Garling (2004); Ashraf and Goda (2007) and Cao *et al.* (2008) observed maximum SGR and FCR values when *O. niloticus* (Nile tilapia) fed plant meal based diets with 1000 FTU kg⁻¹ level of phytase supplementation. In contrast to our findings, higher FCR values of *Monorone saxatilis* (stripped seabass) were observed when fed with a little higher dose (at 1300 FTU kg⁻¹ level) of phytase supplementation in plant meal based diet (Hughes & Soares, 1998). On the other hand, Hussain *et al.* (2011) observed maximum improvement in FCR of *L. rohita* fingerlings when they fed 750 FTU kg⁻¹ level based diet that was close to the optimum level (900 FTU kg⁻¹) found in present study. In contrary, phytase supplementation could not enhance the overall growth performance of *O. mykiss* fed phytase supplemented canola meal based diets (Vielma *et al.*, 2000). Non-significant (P<0.05) difference was observed in term of growth performance when Korean rock fish (Yoo *et al.*, 2005), parrot fish (Lim & Lee, 2009) Japanese flounder (Masumoto, Tamura, & Shimeno, 2001), channel catfish (Yan, Reigh, & Xi, 2002) and Atlantic salmon (Sajjadi & Carter, 2004) fed on different plant meal based diets supplemented with phytase at different levels. Similarly, Yoo *et al.* (2005) concluded that *S. schlegeli* (Korean rockfish) could not improve its growth indices when fed soybean meal based diets supplemented with phytase at 1000 and 2000 FTU kg⁻¹ levels supplemented diets. This

controversy in results may be due to use of different fish species, phytase type, feed ingredient, methods of feed preparation etc. (Baruah *et al.*, 2007b).

Phytate commonly exists in plant based ingredients that usually binds with divalent cations and is known as a major anti-nutritional factor (Soetan & Oyewole, 2009). Breakdown of complex chelated structure of phytate enhances the release and utilization of essential minerals. Many researchers indicated that phytate present in plant by-products may chelate with some of the important minerals such as Fe, Ca, Mn, Cu, Ni, Cr, Na, K, P, Mg and decreases their availability to mono-gastric fish (Cao *et al.*, 2007; Dersjant-Li *et al.*, 2015; Hussain *et al.*, 2015a,b). Present results showed that supplementation of phytase in moringa by-products (MOLM+MOSM) based diets is much beneficial for improving mineral digestibility in *C. catla* fingerlings as compared to fish fed on control diet (without phytase supplementation), because of the release of chelated minerals from phytate complex. From present study, it was noted that 900 FTU kg⁻¹ is the most optimum level of phytase supplementation that can decrease excretion of these essential minerals in water through fish feces and increase mineral digestibility. Whereas, some of the minerals showed maximum digestibility for fingerlings when they fed on 600 FTU kg⁻¹ level based diet and remaining were found maximum at 1200 FTU kg⁻¹ level based diet. Literature reviewed that phytase can influence the mineral digestibility from 250 to 1500 FTU kg⁻¹ levels in different fish species in different environmental conditions (Cao *et al.*, 2007). Similar to our findings, Hussain *et al.* (2015b) found that anti nutritional factors in soybean meal based diets such as phytate played negative role in mineral digestibility, whereas phytase supplementation at 1000 FTU kg⁻¹ level improved mineral digestibility by breaking down the chelated phytate-minerals complex resulting in maximum utilization of essential minerals by fish and decreased mineral discharge in water. Increased mineral utilization was also observed by Cheng and Hardy (2002), when they supplemented plant meal based diets with phytase that liberated chelated minerals from phytate present in plant feed stuffs. Zhu, Qiu, Ding, Duan, and Wang (2014) noted significantly decreased mineral contents in feces after phytase supplementation. Hussain *et al.* (2015a) also found positive effects of phytase supplementation at 750 FTU kg⁻¹ level in improving mineral digestibility of *L. rohita* fingerlings when fed with canola meal based diets as compared to control (0 FTU kg⁻¹ level) and other phytase supplemented diets. Almost similar with present findings, Van-Weerd, Khalaf, Aartsen, and Tijssen (1999) found that phytase addition at 1000 FTU kg⁻¹ level in soybean meal based diet showed maximum ADC% of P in *Clarias gariepinus*. While, Hussain *et al.* (2016) found that 750 FTU kg⁻¹ is the optimum level for maximizing ADC% of minerals in *L. rohita* fingerlings. In another study, *C. mrigala*

fingerlings were fed phytase supplemented sunflower meal based diets and showed maximum nutrient digestibility at 1000 FTU kg⁻¹ level (Hussain *et al.*, 2014). In contrary to current results, Baruah *et al.* (2007a) found maximum mineral digestibility values in *L. rohita* fingerlings fed on 500 FTU kg⁻¹ level in plant meal based diet. They concluded that minerals such as Fe, Mg, K, Mn, P and Na showed highest ADC% at 500 FTU kg⁻¹ level based diet as compared to control and other phytase supplemented test diets. Similar to these findings, Debnath *et al.* (2005) also noted highest ADC% of minerals (Mn, Fe, Na, Mg and P) in *Pangasius pangasius* at 500 FTU kg⁻¹ level based diet. Variations in optimal level of phytase supplementation may be due to difference in plant ingredients used in diet formulation and experimental fish species (Dersjant-Li *et al.*, 2015). In contrary to these findings and present results, Laining *et al.* (2011) observed highest mineral digestibility and absorption in *Takifu gurubripes* (tiger puffer), when fed with phytase supplementation at 2000 FTU kg⁻¹ in soybean meal based diet. In another study Nwanna and Bello (2014) suggested that phytase supplementation played a non-significant role in term of mineral digestibility in *Oreochromis niloticus*, Nile tilapia fingerlings. They found little improvement in mineral digestibility at a very high dose (8000 FTU kg⁻¹) of phytase supplementation. Their results were not in specific range of phytase supplementation (250 to 1500 FTU kg⁻¹ level) as narrated by Cao *et al.* (2007). Reasons for these variations in results of different studies may be the use of different quality and quantity of phytase enzyme, feed formulation technology, feed drying methodology or process used for phytase supplementation (Baruah *et al.*, 2007b).

Conclusions

It was concluded that the phytase supplementation played very important role in improving growth performance and mineral digestibility of *C. catla* fingerlings and ultimately resulted in decreasing water pollution when fed on phytase supplemented MOLM+MOSM based diets. Best values in term of maximum growth performance and mineral digestibility to fish body indicated that fish fed phytase supplemented diet became healthier as compared to fish fed on control diet (0 FTU kg⁻¹). It was also concluded that supplementation of phytase at 900 FTU kg⁻¹ level is the optimum level that significantly improved the growth parameters and minerals digestibility to fish fed on mixture of MOLM+MOSM based diet.

References

- Allan, G.L., & Rowland, S.J. (1992). Development of an experimental diet for silver perch (*Bidynus bidyanus*). *Austasia Aquaculture*, 6, 39-40.
- Anjorin, T.S., Ikokoh, P., & Okolo, S. (2010). Mineral composition of *Moringa oleifera* leaves, pods and

- seeds from two regions in Abuja, Nigeria. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, 12(3), 431-434.
- AOAC. (Association of Official Analytical Chemists). 1995. Official Methods of Analysis. 15th Ed. Association of Official Analytical chemists, Washington, D.C. USA., p. 1094.
- Ashraf, M., & Goda, A.S. (2007). Effect of dietary soybean meal and phytase levels on growth, feed utilization and phosphorus discharge for Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* L.). *Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 2, 248-263. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3923/jfas.2007.248.263>
- Aslam, S., Abbas, S., Kalhor, M.A., & Shoaib, A. (2016). Anchor worms (*Lernaeid parasites*), *Lernaea polymorpha* yü and *Lernaea cyprinacea* (copépode: lernaeidae) on major carps at different fish farms in Punjab, Pakistan. *Science International*, 28(1), 295-298.
- Bai, D.Q., Qiao, X.T., Wei, D., Guo, L., & Qi, H.L. (2003). Effects of phytase on utilization ratio of nutrient composition (calcium, phosphorus etc.) of Carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L.). *Journal of Tianjin Agricultural College*, 10, 6-11.
- Barnes, M.E., Brown, M.L., & Rosentrator, K.A. (2012). Juveniles rainbow trout responses to diets containing distillers dried grain with soluble, phytase and amino acid supplements. *Open Journal of Animal Sciences*, 2, 69-77. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ojas.2012.22011>
- Baruah, K., Pal, K.A.K., Narottam, P.S., & Debnath, D. (2007a). Microbial Phytase supplementation in rohu, *Labeo rohita*, diets enhances growth performance and nutrient digestibility. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society*, 38, 129-137. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-7345.2006.00081.x>
- Baruah, K., Sahu, P.N., Pal, K.A., Jain, K.K., Debnath, D., & Mukherjee, C.S. (2007b). Dietary microbial phytase and citric acid synergistically enhances nutrient digestibility and growth performance of *Labeo rohita* (Hamilton) juveniles at sub-optimal protein level. *Aquaculture Research*, 38, 109-120. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2006.01624.x>
- Bosh, C. H. (2004). USDA National Nutrient Database for standard reference. In: Grubben, G.J.H., & Denton, O.A. (eds). PROTOA Foundation, Wageningen Netherlands/ CTA, Wageningen, Netherlands, 392-393.
- Cao, L., Wang, W., Yang, C., Yang, Y., Diana, J., Yakupitiyage, A., Luo, Z., & Li, D. (2007). Application of microbial phytase in fish feed. *Journal Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 40, 497-507. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enzmtec.2007.01.007>
- Cao, L., Yang, Y., Wang, W.M., Yakupitiyage, A., Yuan, D.R., & Diana, J.S. (2008). Effect of pre-treatment with microbial phytase on phosphorus utilization and growth performance of Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 14, 99-109. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2095.2007.00508.x>
- Chabi, I.B., Kayodé, A.P.P., Agassoussi, O.A.S., Agbobatinkpo, P.B., Chikou, A., & Codjia, J.T.C. (2015). Development and bio-efficacy study of plant-based proteins diets for juvenile African catfish. *Journal of Applied Biosciences*, 94, 8801-8808. <https://doi.org/10.4314/jab.v94i1.2>
- Cheng, Z.J., & Hardy, R.W. (2002). Effect of microbial phytase on apparent nutrient digestibility of barley, canola meal, wheat and wheat middlings, measured in vivo using rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 8, 271-277. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2095.2002.00219.x>
- Chiseva, S. (2006). The growth rates and feed conversion ratios of fry fed conventional fry diets and *Moringa oleifera* supplemented diets. B. Sc. Dissertation, Bindura University of Science Education, Zimbabwe.
- Dawood, M.A.O., Koshio, S., Ishikawa, M., & Yokoyama, S. (2015). Effects of partial substitution of fish meal by soybean meal with or without heat-killed *Lactobacillus plantarum* (LP20) on growth performance, digestibility, and immune response of Amberjack, *Seriola dumerili* juveniles. *BioMed research international*, 2015. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2015/514196>
- Debnath, D., Pal, A.K., Sahu, N.P., Jain, K.K., Yengkokpam, S., & Mukherjee, S.C. (2005). Effects of dietary microbial phytase supplementation on growth and nutrient digestibility of *Pangasius pangasius* (Hamilton) fingerlings. *Journal of Aquaculture Research*, 36, 180-187. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2004.01203.x>
- Dedeke, G.A., Owa, S.O., Olurin, K.B., Akinfe, A.O., & Awotodu, O.O. (2013). Partial replacement of fish meal by earthworm meal (*Libyodrilus violaceus*) in diets for African catfish, *Clarias gariepinus*. *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquaculture*, 5(9), 229-233. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2004.01204.x>
- Dersjant-Li, Y., Awati, A., Schulze, H., & Partridge, G. (2015). Phytase in non-ruminant animal nutrition: a critical review on phytase activities in the gastrointestinal tract and influencing factors. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 95(5), 878-896. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.6998>
- Enterria, A., Slocum, M., David, A. Panayotis, B., Karayannakidis, D., & Lee, C.M. (2011). Partial replacement of fish meal with plant protein sources singly and in combination in diets for Summer Flounder, *Paralichthys dentatus*. *World Aquaculture Society*, 42, 753-765. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-7345.2011.00533.x>
- Essa, A.M., Mabrouk, A.H., & Zaki, A.M. (2004). Growth performance of grass carp, *Ctenopharyngodon idella* and hybrid grass carp fingerlings fed on different types of aquatic plants and artificial diet in concrete basins. *Egyptian journal of aquatic research*, 30(B), 341-348.
- FAO. (2014). *Psetta maxima* (Linnaeus, 1758). Statistical information, global aquaculture production 1950–2012. Fisheries and Aquaculture Department, Rome, Italy. (<http://www.fao.org/fishery/statistics/global-aquaculture-production/>).
- FAO. (2015). Fisheries and Aquaculture Department, *Catla catla* (Hamilton, 1822). Cultured Aquatic Species Information Programme. Retrieved from
- Grubben, G.J.H., & Denton, O.A. (2004). Plant Resources of Tropical Africa 2. Vegetables. PROTA Foundation, Wageningen, Netherlands/ Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, Netherlands/ CTA, Wageningen, Netherlands. 61, 108-108 [https://doi.org/10.1663/0013-0001\(2007\)61\[108a:protac\]2.0.co;2](https://doi.org/10.1663/0013-0001(2007)61[108a:protac]2.0.co;2)
- Hardy, R.W. (2010). Utilization of plant proteins in fish diets: effects of global demand and supplies of fishmeal. *Journal of Aquaculture Research*, 41, 770-776. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2009.02349.x>
- Hughes, K.P., & Soares, J.H. (1998). Efficacy of phytase on phosphorus utilization in practical diets fed to striped

- bass, *Morone saxatilis*. *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 4, 133-140.
<https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2095.1998.00057.x>
- Hussain, S.M., Afzal, M., Rana, S.A., Javid, A., & Hussain, M. (2011). Impact of phytase supplementation on nutrient digestibility for *Labeo rohita* fingerlings fed on sunflower meal based diets. *Pakistan Journal of Life and Social Sciences*, 2, 85-90.
- Hussain, S.M., Hameed, T., Afzal, M., Mubarik, M.S., Asrar, M., Shah, S.Z.H., Ahmad, S., Arsalan, M.Z.H., Riaz, D., Tahir, N., Amber, F., Shahzad, M.M., & Khichi, T.A.A. (2014). Effects of phytase supplementation on mineral digestibility in *Cirrhinus mrigala* fingerlings fed on sunflower meal-based diets. *International Journal of Biosciences*, 5(12), 173-181. <https://doi.org/10.12692/ijb/5.12.173-181>
- Hussain, S.M., Shahzad, M.M., Afzal, M., Javid, A., Mubarik, M.S., Shah, S.Z.H., Hussain, M., Ahmad, S., Arsalan, M.Z.H., Manzoor, R., & Riaz, D. (2015a). Efficacy of phytase enzyme for increasing Mineral Digestibility of *Cirrhinus mrigala* fingerlings fed on soybean meal-based diet. *Pakistan Journal of Zoology*, 47(6), 1807-1816.
- Hussain, S.M., Afzal, M., Javid, A., Hussain, A.I., Ali, Q., Mustafa, I., Chatha, S.A.S., Shah S.Z.H., Hussain, M., & Ullah, M.I. (2015b). Efficacy of phytase supplementation on growth performance and mineral digestibility of *Labeo rohita* fingerlings fed on cottonseed meal based diet. *Pakistan Journal of Zoology*, 47(3), 699-709.
- Hussain, S.M., Ahmad, S., Shahzad, M.M., Arsalan, M.Z.H., Riaz, D., Ahmad, N., Tabassum, S., & Ahmed, A.W. (2016). Mineral digestibility of *Labeo rohita* fingerlings fed on cottonseed meal based diets supplemented with citric acid and phytase enzyme. *International Journal of Biosciences*, 8(2), 25-35. <http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/8.2.25-35>
- Kumar, V., Sinha, A.K., Makkar, H.P.S., De Boeck, G., & Becker, K. (2011). Phytate and phytase in fish nutrition. *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition*, 96(3), 335-364.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0396.2011.01169.x>
- Laining, A., Ishikawa, M., Kyaw, K., Gao, J., Binh, N.T., Koshio, S., Yamaguchi, S., Yokoyama, S., & Koyama, J. (2011). Dietary calcium/phosphorus ratio influences the efficacy of microbial phytase on growth, mineral digestibility and vertebral mineralization in tiger puffer, *Takifugu rubripes*. *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 17, 267-277.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2095.2009.00749.x>
- Lei, X.G., Weaver, J.D., Mullaney, E., Ullah, A.H., & Azain, M.J. (2013). Phytase a new life for an old enzyme. *Annual Review of Animal Biosciences*, 1(1), 283-309. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-animal-031412-103717>
- Liener, I.E. (1994). Implications of antinutritional components in soybean foods. *Critical Review of Food Sciences in Nutrition*, 34, 31-67.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10408399409527649>
- Lim, S.J., & Lee, K.J. (2009). Partial replacement of fish meal by cottonseed meal and soybean meal with iron and phytase supplementation for parrot fish *Oplegnathus fasciatus*. *Aquaculture*, 290, 283-289. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2009.02018>
- Liu, L.W., Su, J.M., Zhang, T., Liang X.Z., & Luo, Y.L. (2013). Apparent digestibility of nutrients in grass carp diet supplemented with graded levels of phytase using pre-treatment and spraying methods. *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 19, 91-99.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2095.2012.00942.x>
- Lovell, R.T. (1989). Nutrition and feeding of fish. Van Nostrand-Reinhold, New York, 260 pp.
- Madalla, N., Agbo, N.W., & Jauncey, K. (2013). Evaluation of Aqueous Extracted Moringa Leaf Meal as a Protein Source for Nile Tilapia Juveniles. *Tanzania Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 12(1), 53-64.
- Makkar, H.P.S., & Becker, K. (1996). Nutritional value and antinutritional components of whole and ethanol extracted *Moringa oleifera* leaves. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 63, 211-228. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0377-8401\(96\)01023-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0377-8401(96)01023-1)
- Masumoto, T., Tamura, B., & Shimeno, S. (2001). Effects of phytase on bioavailability of phosphorus in soybean meal-based diets for Japanese flounder *Paralichthys olivaceus*. *Fisheries science*, 67(6), 1075-1080. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1444-2906.2001.00363.x>
- National Research Council (NRC) (1993). Nutrient Requirements of Fish, 114. Washington, DC, National Academy Press.
- Nwanna, L.C., Eisenreich, R., & Schwarz, F.J. (2007). Effect of wet-incubation of dietary plant feedstuffs with phytases on growth and mineral digestibility by common carp *Cyprinus carpio* L. *Aquaculture*, 271(1), 461-468.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.04.020>
- Nwanna, L.C., & Bello, O.S. (2014). Effect of Supplemental Phytase on Phosphorus Digestibility and Mineral Composition in Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *International Journal of Aquaculture*, 4(15), 89-95.
<https://doi.org/10.5376/ija.2014.04.0015>
- Plaipetch, P., & Yakupitiyage, A. (2014). Effect of replacing soybean meal with yeast-fermented canola meal on growth and nutrient retention of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (Linnaeus 1758). *Aquaculture Research*, 45(11), 1744-1753.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/are.12119>
- Riche, M., & Garling, D.L. (2004). Effect of phytic acid on growth and nitrogen retention in tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* L. *Aquaculture nutrition*, 10(6), 389-400. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2095.2004.00314.x>
- Robinson, E.H., Li, M.H., & Manning, B.B. (2002). Comparison of microbial phytase and dicalciumphosphate on growth and bone mineralization of pond raised channel catfish, *Ictalurus punctatus*. *Journal Applied Aquaculture*, 12, 81-88. http://dx.doi.org/10.1300/j028v12n03_08
- Rowland, S.J., & Ingram, B.A., 1991. Diseases of Australian native fishes. In: Fisheries Bulletin 4 NSW Fisheries, Sydney, NSW, Australia.
- Sajjadi, M., & Carter, C.G. (2004). Effect of phytic acid and phytase on feed intake, growth digestibility and trypsin activity in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 10, 135-142.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2095.2003.00290.x>
- Salem, H.B., & Makkar, H.P.S. (2009). Defatted *Moringa oleifera* seed meal as a feed additive for sheep. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 150(1), 27-33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2008.07.007>
- Sheikh, B.A., & Sheikh, S.A., 2004. Aquaculture and integrated farming system. *Pakistan Journal of Agriculture Engineering and Veterinary Sciences*, 20,

- 52-58.
- Snedecor, G.W., & Cochran, W.G. (1991). Statistical Methods. 8th Ed. Iowa State University. Press, Ames. USA, p. 503.
- Soetan, K.O., & Oyewole, O.E. (2009). The need for adequate processing to reduce the anti-nutritional factors in plants used as human foods and animal feeds: A review. *African Journal of Food Science*, 3(9), 223-232.
- Soliva, C.R., Kreuzer, M., Foidl, N., Foidl, G., Machmüller, A., & Hess, H.D. (2005). Feeding value of whole and extracted *Moringa oleifera* leaves for ruminants and their effects on ruminal fermentation in vitro. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*. 118(1), 47-62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2004.10.005>
- Spinelli, J., Houle, C.R., & Wekell, J.C. (1983). The effects of phytates on the growth of rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*) fed purified diets containing varying quantities of calcium and magnesium. *Aquaculture*, 30, 71-83. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0044-8486\(83\)90153-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0044-8486(83)90153-9)
- Steel, R.G., & Torrie, J.H. (1960). Principles and procedures of statistics. 3rd Ed. McGraw Hill International Book Company, Inc. New York. USA. p. 336-352.
- Tiamiyu, L.O., Okomoda, V.T., & Aende, A. (2016). Growth performance of *Oreochromis niloticus* fingerlings fed *Moringa oleifera* leaf as replacement for soybean meal. *Journal of Aquaculture Engineering and Fisheries Research*. 2(2), 61-66. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3153/jaefr16008>
- Van-Weerd, J.H., Khalaf, K.H.A., Aartsen, F.J., & Tijssen, P.A.T. (1999). Balance trials with African cat fish, *Clarias gariepinus* fed phytase-treated soybean meal-based diets. *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 5, 135-142. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2095.1999.00100.x>
- Vielma, J., Makinen, T., Ekholm, P., & Koskela, J. (2000). Checked the Influence of dietary soy and phytase levels on performance and body composition of large rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* and algal availability of phosphorus load. *Aquaculture*, 183, 349-362. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0044-8486\(99\)00299-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0044-8486(99)00299-9)
- Wang, F., Yang, Y.H., Han, Z.Z., Dong, H.W., Yang, C.H., & Zou, Z.Y. (2009). Effects of phytase pretreatment of soybean meal and phytase-sprayed in diets on growth, apparent digestibility coefficient and nutrient excretion of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss* Walbaum). *Aquaculture International*, 17, 143-157. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10499-008-9187-5>
- Wang, Y., Yu, S., Wang, Y., Che, J., Zhao, L., Bu, X., & Yang, Y. (2015). Effect of replacing fish meal with soybean meal on growth, feed utilization and nitrogen and phosphorus excretion of juvenile *Pseudobagrus ussuriensis*. *Aquaculture Research*, 1-11. <http://dx.doi.org/doi/10.1111/are.12765>
- Weiss, E.A. (1971). Castor, Sesame, and Safflower. Leonard Hill, London. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/s0014479700005366>
- Yan, W., Reigh, R.C., & Xi, Z. (2002). Effects of fungal phytase on utilization of dietary protein and minerals, and dephosphorylation of phytic acid in the alimentary tract of channel catfish *Ictalurus punctatus* fed an all-plant protein diet. *Journal of World Aquaculture Society*, 33, 10-22. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-7345.2002.tb00473.x>
- Yoo, G.Y., Wang, X., Choi, S., Han, K., Kang, J.C., & Bai, S.C. (2005). Dietary microbial phytase increased the phosphorus digestibility in juveniles Korean Rockfish *Sebastes schlegeli* fed diets containing soybean meal. *Aquaculture*, 243, 315-322. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2004.10.025>
- Yu, F.N., & Wang, D.Z. (2000). The effects of supplemental phytase on growth and the utilization of phosphorus by crucian carp *Carassius carassius*. *Journal of Fishery Sciences of China*, 7, 106-109.
- Zhu, Y., Qiu, X., Ding, Q., Duan, M., & Wang, C. (2014). Combined effects of dietary phytase and organic acid on growth and phosphorus utilization of juvenile yellow catfish *Pelteobagrus fulvidraco*. *Aquaculture*, 430, 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2014.03.023>