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#### Abstract

Population parameters such as growth, mortality rates, annual catch and stock size of Capoeta umbla (Heckel, 1843) population in Lake Hazar were investigated. Length and weight relationships of the population was $\mathrm{W}=0.070 \times \mathrm{TL}^{2.39}$ ( $\mathrm{n}=364$; $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.95$ ) and von Bertalanffy Growth Parameters were estimated as $\mathrm{L}_{\infty}=53.77 \mathrm{~cm} ; \mathrm{K}=0.16 ; \mathrm{t}_{0}=-1.84$ and $\mathrm{W} \infty=957.38 \mathrm{~g}$. Natural mortality rate ( M ) was 0.363 while fishing mortality ( F ) and total mortality rate ( Z ) were 0.349 and 0.712 , respectively. Annual catch was 25721 kg (107544 individuals) and mean fish size was $28.7 \mathrm{~cm}(239.4 \mathrm{~g})$. Estimated stock size based on mark and recapture method was $91601 \mathrm{~kg}\left(\mathrm{CI}_{(95 \%)}: 85163-99061 \mathrm{~kg}\right)$ and 382627 individuals $\left(\mathrm{CI}_{(95 \%)}\right.$ : 355736413916 individuals). Stock size was also assessed by using length-based cohort analysis and was found to be 95256 kg $\left(\mathrm{CI}_{(95 \%)}: 55079-263225 \mathrm{~kg}\right)$ and 358105 individuals $\left(\mathrm{CI}_{(95 \%)}\right.$ : $240251-868729$ individuals). According to yield-biomass relationships, estimated maximum sustainable yield (MSY) was 27070 kg .
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Elazığ Hazar Gölü'nde Yaşayan Capoeta umbla (Heckel, 1843)'nın Stok Tahmini ve Populasyon Dinamiği
Özet
Elazığ Hazar Gölü'ndeki Capoeta umbla (Heckel, 1843) populasyonunun av miktarları, boy frekansları, büyüme parametreleri ve ölüm oranları gibi populasyon parametreleri ve stok büyüklüğü incelendi. Populasyona ait boy ağırlık ilişkisi $\mathrm{W}=0.070 \times \mathrm{TL}^{2,39}\left(\mathrm{n}=364 ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.95\right)$ ve von Bertalanffy büyüme parametreleri ise; $L \infty=53.77 \mathrm{~cm} ; \mathrm{K}=0.16 ; \mathrm{t}_{0}=-1.84$ ve $\mathrm{W} \infty=957.38 \mathrm{~g}$ olarak hesaplandı. C. umbla populasyonunda doğal ölüm oranı $\mathrm{M}=0.363$; balıkçılık ölüm oranı $\mathrm{F}=0.349$ ve total ölüm oranı $Z=0.712$ olarak bulundu. Yıllık avlanan ürün miktarı 25721 kg ( 107544 adet) olup avlanan balıkların ortalama büyüklüğü $28.7 \mathrm{~cm}(239.4 \mathrm{~g})$ olarak hesaplandı. Markalama yöntemine göre stok büyüklüğü bioymas olarak 91601 $\mathrm{kg}\left(\mathrm{CI}_{(\% 95)}: 85163-99091 \mathrm{~kg}\right)$ ve sayısal olarak ise 382627 adet $\left(\mathrm{CI}_{(\% 95)}: 355736-413916\right.$ adet) olarak hesaplandi. Boya dayalı cohort analizine göre ise ortalama biyomas $95256 \mathrm{~kg}\left(\mathrm{CI}_{(\% 95)}: 55079-263225 \mathrm{~kg}\right)$ ve sayısal olarak ise 358105 adet ( $\mathrm{CI}_{(\% 95)}$ : 240251-868729 adet) olarak tahmin edildi. Ürün-Biyomas ilişkisine göre sürdürülebilir maksimum ürün (MSY) 27070 kg olarak hesaplandi.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Hazar Gölü, Capoeta umbla, büyüme parametreleri, ölüm oranları, stok tahmini.

## Introduction

The genus Capoeta of Cyprinids is distributed in southern China, northern India, Turkmenistan, Lake Aral, the Middle East and Anatolia (Türkmen et al., 2002). The individuals of this genus occurs in lentic systems as well as lotic systems (Alp et al., 2005), and it was reported in the Ceyhan (Kara et al., 2010), Aşağı Gökdere, Köprüçay, Asi, Göksu, Seyhan, Büyükmenderes, Dalaman, Karasu, Seyitler (Turan, 2008), Euphrates (Gül et al., 1996), Tigris (Yılmaz and Solak, 1999) rivers and Hirfanlı (Yılmaz et al.,
2010), Menzelet (Alp et al., 2005) Sarıyer (Ekmekçi, 1996a; Ekmekçi, 1996b), Aslantaş - Mehmetli (Başusta and Erdem, 1996), Almus (Cengizler and Erdem, 1994) and Kalecik (Şen, 1988) reservoirs and in Hazar (Özdemir, 1982), Nazik (Şen et al., 1999) and Çildır (Canbolat et al., 1999) lakes in Turkey. This genus is represented by 18 species (C. angorae, C. antalyensis, C. baliki, C. banarescui, C. barroisi, C. bergamae, C. caelestis, C. damascina, C. ekmekciae, C. erhani, C. kosswigi, C. mauricii, C. pestai, C. sieboldi, C. tinca, C. trutta, C. turani, and C. umbla) and 1 subspecies (C.c. capoeta) (Küçük
and Güçlü, 2006; Turan et al., 2006; Geldiay and Balık, 2007; Turan et al., 2008; Froese and Pauly, 2012; Levin et al., 2012).

Capoeta umbla (Heckel, 1843), Transcaucasian barb, inhabits Euphrates-Tigris river systems. It is also known as "lake fish or stream fish" locally and it is the most commercially valued fish for the local people around Lake Hazar. A large number of studies have been carried out on this species in Lake Hazar as well as in different regions of the country (Ekingen and Sarıeyyüpoğlu, 1981; Canpolat and Çalta, 2001; Aydın and Şen, 2002; Türkmen et al., 2002; Yüksel, 2002; Yüce and Şen, 2003; Bayır et al., 2007; Çoban and Şen, 2011). Nevertheless, there is no study about its stock size and population parameters.

In order to apply an effective fisheries management and biological conservation, stocks sizes should be assessed and then maximum sustainable yield and fishing efforts should be determined for each fish population.

In this study population dynamics and stock size of C. umbla in Lake Hazar were studied. Information from this study may be used to design commercial fisheries management strategies for the lake, including the regulation of fishery pressure on $C$. umbla population in Lake Hazar. Accordingly, the aim of the study were to estimate 1) growth parameters 2) mortality rates 3) stock size 4) maximum sustainable yield and optimum fishing effort for the C. umbla population in Lake Hazar.

## Materials and Methods

## Lake Hazar

Lake Hazar located at 25 km south of Elazığ is a tectonic lake and its surface area is about $86 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$. It is located at the latitude of $38^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, longitude of $39^{\circ}$ $24^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ and altitude of 1248 m (Figure 1). The average length of Lake Hazar is about 20 km and its width 4.5
km . The maximum depth of the lake was reported as 219 m (Anonymous, 1995). Lake Hazar has brackish and alkaline water $(\mathrm{NaCl}=728.6 \quad \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$; $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}=726.10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l} ; \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}=628 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ and $\mathrm{pH}=8.8$ ) and has an oligotrophic character (Cici, 1995).

The main fish species of the lake are C. umbla (Heckel, 1843), Alburnus heckeli Batalgil, 1943, Oxynoemacheilus eregliensis (Banarescu and Nalbant, 1978), Cyprinus carpio Linnaeus, 1758 and Aphanius asquamatus (Sözer, 1942). A total of 7 commercial fishing boats are present and they are not independent from any cooperatives or special organization present in the lake. Lake Hazar is an important recreational area for Elazığ province and a lot of restaurants and summer houses are found around the lake. Thus the domestic pollution threatens the lake in future.

## Fish Samples

This study was conducted on C. umbla (Heckel, 1843) individuals caught from Hazar Lake in Elazığ province between September 2008 and August 2009. In this study, fish samples were obtained in two ways:

1. In order to determine length-weight relationship and growth parameters, fishes were obtained by researchers by fishing in the lake once a month and a total of 364 fish ( 237 females and 127 males) were sampled. The fish samples were collected using the 10 trammel and gill nets (each net was 100 m in length) with mesh sizes between 20 and 120 mm . These nets were also used for fisheries activities in the lake by fishermen.
2. In order to determine the amount of the annual catch, length frequency distributions and stock assessment, fishes were obtained by fishermen for one day in a week early morning (every week during the nine months). The length and weight of all fish caught by at least 5 of fishing boats (total of 7 fishermen) were determined.


Figure 1. The map of Lake Hazar and study area.

## Growth Parameters

In the laboratory, total length and weight of the each fish sample were measured and then its sex was noted. Ages of fish were determined by using otoliths (Ekingen and Polat, 1987; Öztürk et al., 2000; Aydın and Șen, 2002).

The length-weight relationship was determined from $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{a}^{*} \mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{b}}$ equation, where, W is total fish weight (g) and L is total length $(\mathrm{cm})$. The a and b are the parameters describing the length-weight relationship (Sparre and Venema, 1998). Length-weight relationships of fishes and standard error (SE) of a and $b$ values were estimated with SPSS 16.0 statistical software (SPSS Inc.).

In the investigation of the growth of the $C$. umbla population, the von Bertalanffy growth equations were used (Sparre and Venema, 1998):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{L}_{\infty} *\left[1-\mathrm{e}^{\left(-\mathrm{K}^{*}(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{to})\right)}\right] \\
& \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{W}_{\infty} *\left[1-\mathrm{e}^{\left(-\mathrm{K}^{*}(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{to})\right)}\right]^{\mathrm{b}} \\
& \mathrm{~W}_{\infty}=\mathrm{a}^{*} \mathrm{~L}_{\infty}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}=$ Length of the fish at age $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{L} \infty=$ Asymptotic length, $\mathrm{K}=$ Brody growth coefficient, $t_{0}=$ Age of the fish at 0 cm length, $W \infty=$ Asymptotic weight. VBGF parameters ( $\mathrm{L} \infty, \mathrm{K}$ and $t_{0}$ ) and their SE were calculated from the age-length data using the non-linear regression (Marquard's algorithm) implemented in the FAO-ICLARM FiSAT II package (Gayanilo et al., 2005). The confidence interval $\left(\mathrm{CI}_{(95 \%)}\right)$ values of the VBGF parameters were calculated from $\mathrm{CI}=\mathrm{SE}^{*} \mathrm{t}_{(\mathrm{n}-1)}$ equation, where, SE is the standard error of parameters, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}-1}$ is the critical value of the theoreatical t -distribution for $\mathrm{n}-1$ degrees of freedom (Sparre and Venema, 1998).

## Mortality Rates

In order to estimate the total mortality rate ( Z ), the Length-Based Linearized Catch Curve Method (Sparre and Venema, 1998) was used. In this method, the length values taken from commercial fisheries were used (107544 individuals), and the age of each length group was estimated by using the inverse von Bertalannfy growth equation (Sparre and Venema, 1998). Then, a linear regression analysis was applied for $y=\ln \left(\mathrm{C}_{(\mathrm{L} 1, \mathrm{~L} 2} / \Delta \mathrm{t}(\mathrm{L} 1, \mathrm{~L} 2)\right), \quad \mathrm{x}=$ $\mathrm{t}((\mathrm{L} 1+\mathrm{L} 2) / 2)$. The slope (b) of this regression is considered to be an estimator of "Z" (Sparre and Venema, 1998). Natural mortality rate (M) was calculated by Pauly's (1980) empirical equation;
$\ln \mathrm{M}=-0.0152-0.279 * \ln \mathrm{~L}_{\infty}+0.6543 * \ln \mathrm{~K}+0.4630 * \ln \mathrm{~T}$
where; M is the natural mortality rate and T is the annual mean water temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

Fishing mortality ( F ) was calculated from the equation of $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{Z}-\mathrm{M}$. Percentage survival rate was
estimated from the equation; $\% \mathrm{~S}=\mathrm{e}^{(-\mathrm{Z})} * 100$. The percentage of deaths due to fishing ( $\% \mathrm{C}$ ) and to natural reasons (\%D) were also estimated with the equations; $\% \mathrm{C}=(\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Z}) *(100-\mathrm{S})$ and $\% \mathrm{D}=$ $(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{Z}) *(100-S)$, respectively (Sparre and Venema, 1998; Alp and Balık, 2000).

## Stock Assessment

Stock size was assessed by two different methods: mark and recapture method and length based cohort analysis.

## Mark and Recapture Method

Multiple marking and recapturing method developed by Schnabel (1938) were applied in order to assess of the stock size of C. umbla in Hazar Lake. For this purpose, tagging was done weekly at the selected stations. The nets were set in the evening hours and collected in the morning hours on the following day. After the lengths and weights of the fish were measured, they were tagged with the T-Bar anchor tags by using Mark III Fine Fabric Tagging gun. The abbreviation of the institution (ESUAE), telephone number and fish number were printed on the mentioned tags. Totally, 2825 individuals were marked and released, and 175 marked fish were recaptured between 20 October 2008 and 24 August 2009. The following mathematical equation, the Schnabel Method was used in order to assess population size (Schnabel, 1938).

$$
N_{0}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(C_{i} M_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} R_{i}}
$$

Where; $\mathrm{N}_{0}=$ The unknown size of the population just prior to the first sample; $\mathrm{k}=$ The total number of samples in the entire study (i.e., $\mathrm{i}=1 \ldots \mathrm{k}$ ); $\mathrm{C}_{i}=$ The number of fish captured in the $i$ th sample; $\mathrm{M}_{i}=$ The number of marked fish in the population just prior to the $i$ th sample $\mathrm{M}_{1}=0 ; \mathrm{R}_{i}=$ The number of marked fish in the $i$ th sample, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0$.

Ricker (1975) and Krebs (1998) suggested two possible methods for constructing confidence intervals for $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ the Schnabel method. First, if $\Sigma \mathrm{M}_{i}$ is small (i.e., <50) then a Poisson approximation for constructing a confidence interval for $\Sigma \mathrm{M}_{i}$ is used. Alternatively, when $\Sigma \mathrm{M}_{i}$ is large, then a confidence interval is constructed for $\frac{1}{N}$ by employing the standard methods using the standard error

$$
S E_{\frac{1}{N_{0}}}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} R_{i}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} C_{i} M_{i}\right)^{2}}}
$$

with $\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{n}-2$. In the present study, second method was used to estimate confidence interval for $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ since, $\Sigma \mathrm{M}_{i}$ was larger than 50 .

## Length Based Cohort Analysis

As the second method for the stock analysis of C. umbla, the length-based cohort analysis was applied. For this purpose, the annual catch and length frequencies were used and the population size in the beginning of the season was assessed by using the number of fish caught from each length class during one-year fishing season and the estimated mortality rates of C. umbla in Lake Hazar (Sparre and Venema, 1998; Alp and Balık, 2000; Çubuk et al., 2005). In order to determine annual yield of C. umbla in Lake Hazar, the data obtained from fishermen were used. From these data, mean values for daily, weekly, monthly and annual yields were obtained. In addition, length frequencies were also prepared monthly. Length groups were formed by 2 cm intervals (such as 12-14, 14-16, 16-18.....).

## Yield-Biomass Relations and Maximum Sustainable Yield

In order to assess of the yield-biomass relations and maximum sustainable yield (MSY) of C. umbla population, the length based Thompson and Bell method was applied (Sparre and Venema, 1998; Alp and Balık, 2000; Çubuk et al., 2005).

## Results

## Growth

A total of 364 C. umbla ( 237 female and 127 male) were collected and examined in order to determine the growth parameters. The total lengths (and weights) of the females varied between 12.3 $\mathrm{cm}(28.6 \mathrm{~g})$ to $47.6 \mathrm{~cm}(894.0 \mathrm{~g})$ and those of the males varied between $11.0(27.0 \mathrm{~g})$ and 44.8 cm $(874.0 \mathrm{~g})$. The ages of the fish were between 1 and 10 years old (Table 1).

The equations of the length-weight relationships were as follows:

Females : W $=0.056 * \mathrm{TL}^{2.466}\left(\mathrm{n}=237 ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.95\right.$; $\mathrm{SE}_{\mathrm{a}}=0.006 ; \mathrm{SE}_{\mathrm{b}}=0.033$ )
Males : $\mathrm{W}=0.104 * \mathrm{TL}^{2.267}\left(\mathrm{n}=127 ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.93 ; \mathrm{SE}_{\mathrm{a}}\right.$ $=0.017 ; \mathrm{SE}_{\mathrm{b}}=0.051$ )
Combined sexes: $\mathrm{W}=0.070 * \mathrm{TL}^{2.390}\left(\mathrm{n}=364 ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=\right.$ $0.95 ; \mathrm{SE}_{\mathrm{a}}=0.007 ; \mathrm{SE}_{\mathrm{b}}=0.029$ )

The von Bertalanffy growth parameters and their confidence limits $\left(\mathrm{CL}_{(95 \%)}\right)$ of $C$. umbla were calculated for females, males and combined sexes (Table 2).

## Mortality Rates

The growth parameters of combined sex were used to estimate mortality rates. The natural mortality

Table 1. Total length (cm) and total weight (g) values of C. umbla populations inhabiting Lake Hazar (M: Male; F: Female)

| Age group | Sex | N | TL | Range | SE | W | Range | SE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | F | 7 | 14.31 | 12.3-16.5 | 1.43 | 43.98 | 28.6-53.1 | 3.25 |
|  | M | 11 | 13.71 | 11.0-16.1 | 0.50 | 42.07 | 27.0-55.1 | 2.61 |
|  | F+M | 18 | 13.95 | 11.0-16.5 | 0.37 | 42.81 | 27.0-55.1 | 1.99 |
| II | F | 24 | 23.55 | 17.1-26.1 | 0.36 | 127.41 | 58.0-16.0 | 4.76 |
|  | M | 22 | 22.47 | 17.3-24.8 | 0.33 | 106.22 | 58.0-132.0 | 3.50 |
|  | F+M | 46 | 23.03 | 17.1-26.1 | 0.26 | 117.28 | 58.0-160.0 | 3.35 |
| III | F | 52 | 26.61 | 20.5-33.8 | 0.44 | 183.23 | 96.0-306.0 | 8.19 |
|  | M | 39 | 25.44 | 21.0-33.5 | 0.54 | 160.46 | 98.0-290.0 | 9.04 |
|  | F+M | 91 | 26.11 | 20.5-33.8 | 0.34 | 173.47 | 96.0-306.0 | 6.16 |
| IV | F | 65 | 28.68 | 23.5-34.2 | 0.43 | 227.26 | 112.0-376.0 | 9.09 |
|  | M | 24 | 28.06 | 22.7-35.8 | 0.67 | 210.20 | 120.0-356.0 | 13.55 |
|  | F+M | 89 | 28.51 | 22.7-35.8 | 0.36 | 222.69 | 112.0-376.0 | 7.58 |
| V | F | 38 | 31.14 | 22.9-39.8 | 0.78 | 270.28 | 134.0-390.0 | 14.03 |
|  | M | 9 | 30.80 | 25.3-37.8 | 1.24 | 253.77 | 170.0-360.0 | 24.58 |
|  | F+M | 47 | 31.08 | 22.9-39.8 | 0.67 | 267.12 | 134.0-390.0 | 12.20 |
| VI | F | 23 | 32.43 | 24.2-37.5 | 0.97 | 309.13 | 142.0-432.0 | 21.61 |
|  | M | 10 | 33.17 | 26.5-40.0 | 1.28 | 289.10 | 211.0-385.0 | 22.35 |
|  | F+M | 33 | 32.65 | 24.2-40.0 | 0.77 | 303.06 | 142.0-432.0 | 16.40 |
| VII | F | 16 | 33.65 | 26.2-39.8 | 1.19 | 346.31 | 172.0-476.0 | 25.98 |
|  | M | 7 | 35.41 | 32.2-41.3 | 1.09 | 340.71 | 276.0-458.0 | 26.85 |
|  | F+M | 23 | 34.18 | 26.2-41.3 | 0.89 | 344.60 | 172.0-476.0 | 19.50 |
| VIII | F | 5 | 34.82 | 31.1-37.5 | 1.08 | 383.40 | 277.0-486.0 | 38.42 |
|  | M | 4 | 38.07 | 33.0-43.3 | 2.10 | 411.75 | 361.0-485.0 | 26.48 |
|  | F+M | 9 | 36.26 | 31.1-43.3 | 1.18 | 396.00 | 277.0-486.0 | 23.49 |
| IX | F | 3 | 37.36 | 32.8-43.3 | 3.10 | 478.33 | 316.0-602.0 | 84.79 |
|  | M |  | 7 | - | , | . | - | , |
|  | F+M | 3 | 37.36 | 32.8-43.3 | 3.10 | 478.33 | 316.0-602.0 | 84.79 |
| X | F | 4 | 44.65 | 41.0-47.6 | 3.10 | 736.25 | 602.0-894.0 | 60.17 |
|  | M | 1 | 44.80 | - | 19 | 874.00 | - | , |
|  | F+M | 5 | 44.68 | 41.0-47.6 | 1.19 | 763.80 | 602.0-894.0 | 54.14 |

rate on C. umbla population in Lake Hazar was estimated as $\mathrm{M}=0.363$ using by $\mathrm{L} \infty=53.77, \mathrm{~K}=0.16$ and $\mathrm{T}=16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. On the other hand, commercial fishing data were used to estimate the total mortality rate (Z). According to linearized catch curve method, the total mortality rate was estimated as $\mathrm{Z}=0.712$ (Figure 2). Fishing mortality rate was also estimated as $\mathrm{F}=0.349$ by subtracting the natural mortality from the total mortality ( $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{Z}-\mathrm{M}$ ).

The percentage survival (\%S) was estimated as $49 \%$. Thus, the percentage of total deaths occurred in the stock in the fisheries season was $51 \%$, when this figure was divided according to causes, $25 \%$ was due to fisheries activities (\%C) and the remaining $26 \%$ was from natural reasons (\%D). The exploitation rate (E) was found to be 0.49 .

## Annual Catch and Length Frequencies

The total annual catch of C. umbla in Lake Hazar during the 2008-2009 fishing season consisted of 107544 individuals and amounted to 25721 kg . The average length and average weight of these fish were calculated as 28.7 cm and 239.4 g , respectively. While the highest yields were obtained in September, October and November, the lowest yield was obtained in February. The lengths of the fish caught varied from 12 cm to 45 cm and the great majority of the annual catch was composed of the individuals from 25 cm to 27 cm (Figure 3).

## Stock Assessment

## Stock Assessment with Mark and Recapture Method

A total of 2825 individuals (M) were marked by using the multiple marking methods in order to assess the stock size (Table 3). Totally, 69808 individuals $(\mathrm{Ct})$ were controlled in the commercial catch and research materials caught between 20 October 2008 and 24 August 2009. Altogether 175 marked fish (Rt) were recaptured (Table 3).

According to the mark and recapture method, the stock size in numbers (No) was estimated as 382627 individual fish ( $\mathrm{CI}_{(95 \%)}$ : 355736-413916). This corresponded to an estimated biomass of 91601 kg ( $\left.\mathrm{CI}_{(95 \%)}: 85163-99061 \mathrm{~kg}\right)$.

## Stock Assessment with the Length-bAsed Cohort Analysis

A cohort analysis was applied by using the length frequencies obtained from the total commercial catch. The number of the individuals larger than 12 cm was estimated to be 367219 at the beginning of the cohort (Table 4). This cohort showed an exponential decay and only 246 individuals survived bigger than 44 cm in length (Figure 4) and older than 10.38 years old.

Stock size (mean N) of C. umbla based on length based cohort analysis was estimated at 367219 individual fish ( $\mathrm{CI}_{(95 \%)}$ : 240521-868729 fish), and

Table 2. The VBGF parameters of C. umbla populations inhabiting Lake Hazar

|  | Female | Male | Combined sexes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\infty}$ | 49.22 | 56.17 | 53.77 |
| $\mathrm{CL}_{(95 \%)}$ | $45.7-52.74$ | $53.12-59.22$ | $49.53-58.00$ |
| K | 0.20 | 0.13 | 0.16 |
| $\mathrm{CL}_{(95 \%)}$ | $0.17-0.23$ | $0.04-0.22$ | $0.05-0.28$ |
| $\mathrm{t}_{0}$ | -1.88 | -1.62 | -1.84 |
| $\mathrm{CL}_{(95 \%)}$ | $-2.39--1.37$ | $-3.16--0.08$ | $-2.23--1.45$ |



Figure 2. Total mortality (Z) of C. umbla in Lake Hazar using by linearized catch curve method.
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Figure 3. Length frequency of $C$. umbla catched in the 2008-2009 fishing season from Hazar Lake, according to months.
$95256 \mathrm{~kg}\left(\mathrm{CI}_{(95 \%)}: 55079-263225 \mathrm{~kg}\right)$ as biomass (Table 4). Length based cohort analysis was applied with the using of growth parameters for combined sex ( $\mathrm{L} \infty=53.77, \mathrm{~K}=0.16$ and $\mathrm{t}_{0}=-1.84$ ). Stock size of $C$. umbla was estimated to be between 55079 and 263225 kg with $95 \%$ confidence limits (Table 2).

## Assessment of the Maximum Sustainable Yield (MSY)

With the simulation of the fishing mortality and fishing effort maximum sustainable yield (MSY) was assessed as 27070 kg with an increase of 2.4 times of

Table 3. Mark and recapture program for C. umbla in Lake Hazar. ( Ct : total number of fish captured in sample t , Rt: number of fish already marked in sample t , M : The number of new marked fish, Mt : total number of fish marked in population in sample t)

| Date |  | Ct | Rt | M | Mt | $\mathrm{Mt} * \mathrm{Ct}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20.10.2008 | 26.10.2008 | 2152 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| 27.10.2008 | 02.11.2008 | 2037 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 24444 |
| 03.11.2008 | 09.11.2008 | 1813 | 0 | 22 | 15 | 27195 |
| 10.11.2008 | 16.11.2008 | 1896 | 2 | 13 | 37 | 70152 |
| 17.11.2008 | 23.11.2008 | 2055 | 0 | 16 | 50 | 102750 |
| 24.11.2008 | 30.11.2008 | 3920 | 2 | 1 | 66 | 258720 |
| 01.12.2008 | 07.12.2008 | 1509 | 1 | 15 | 67 | 101103 |
| 08.12.2008 | 14.12.2008 | 48 | 2 | 9 | 82 | 3936 |
| 15.12.2008 | 21.12.2008 | 1540 | 2 | 13 | 91 | 140140 |
| 22.12.2008 | 28.12.2008 | 1954 | 3 | 10 | 104 | 203216 |
| 29.12.2008 | 04.01.2009 | 3366 | 3 | 0 | 114 | 383724 |
| 05.01.2009 | 11.01.2009 | 1716 | 2 | 2 | 114 | 195624 |
| 12.01.2009 | 18.01.2009 | 1395 | 2 | 5 | 116 | 161820 |
| 19.01.2009 | 25.01.2009 | 1698 | 1 | 5 | 121 | 205458 |
| 26.01.2009 | 01.02.2009 | 1668 | 2 | 6 | 126 | 210168 |
| 02.02.2009 | 08.02.2009 | 1100 | 1 | 0 | 132 | 145200 |
| 09.02.2009 | 15.02.2009 | 986 | 2 | 0 | 132 | 130152 |
| 16.02.2009 | 22.02.2009 | 1157 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 152724 |
| 23.02.2009 | 01.03.2009 | 1135 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 149820 |
| 02.03.2009 | 08.03.2009 | 1135 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 149820 |
| 09.03.2009 | 15.03.2009 | 1245 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 164340 |
| 16.03.2009 | 22.03.2009 | 1707 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 225324 |
| 23.03.2009 | 29.03.2009 | 1571 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 207372 |
| 30.03.2009 | 05.04.2009 | 2248 | 1 | 115 | 132 | 296736 |
| 06.04.2009 | 12.04.2009 | 295 | 3 | 64 | 247 | 72865 |
| 13.04.2009 | 19.04.2009 | 673 | 1 | 152 | 311 | 209303 |
| 20.04.2009 | 26.04.2009 | 1316 | 4 | 295 | 463 | 609308 |
| 27.04.2009 | 03.05.2009 | 862 | 5 | 191 | 758 | 653396 |
| 04.05.2009 | 10.05.2009 | 409 | 2 | 91 | 949 | 388141 |
| 11.05.2009 | 17.05.2009 | 510 | 9 | 107 | 1040 | 530400 |
| 18.05.2009 | 24.05.2009 | 444 | 2 | 99 | 1147 | 509268 |
| 25.05.2009 | 31.05.2009 | 598 | 2 | 134 | 1246 | 745108 |
| 01.06.2009 | 07.06.2009 | 1417 | 8 | 314 | 1380 | 1955460 |
| 08.06.2009 | 14.06.2009 | 1624 | 10 | 359 | 1694 | 2751056 |
| 15.06.2009 | 21.06.2009 | 708 | 8 | 153 | 2053 | 1453524 |
| 22.06.2009 | 28.06.2009 | 964 | 3 | 216 | 2206 | 2126584 |
| 29.06.2009 | 05.07.2009 | 2138 | 12 | 112 | 2422 | 5178236 |
| 06.07.2009 | 12.07.2009 | 1940 | 16 | 60 | 2534 | 4915960 |
| 13.07.2009 | 19.07.2009 | 2077 | 14 | 105 | 2594 | 5387738 |
| 20.07.2009 | 26.07.2009 | 1791 | 13 | 56 | 2699 | 4833909 |
| 27.07.2009 | 02.08.2009 | 1747 | 10 | 70 | 2755 | 4812985 |
| 03.08.2009 | 09.08.2009 | 1861 | 2 | 0 | 2825 | 5257325 |
| 10.08.2009 | 16.08.2009 | 2037 | 8 | 0 | 2825 | 5754525 |
| 17.08.2009 | 23.08.2009 | 1575 | 10 | 0 | 2825 | 4449375 |
| 24.08.2009 | 31.08.2009 | 3771 | 7 | 0 | 2825 | 10653075 |
| Total |  | 69808 | 175 | 2825 |  | 66957479 |

the present fishing effort. This is close to the present yield ( 25721 kg ). So it can be seen that the maximum sustainable yield (MSY) may be obtained with an increase of 2.4 times of the present fishing effort (Figure 5). There are 7 commercial fishing boats in the lake now and if there were 17 boats ( 2.4 times of the present fishing boats), the maximum sustainable yield would be obtained.

## Discussion

The oldest C. umbla reported in the literature was 13 years old and 47.20 cm in length (Șen and

Aydın, 2000). Age distributions and asymptotic length $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\infty}\right)$ distributions of the different populations were between 1 and 13 years old and 41.11-73.41 cm for combined sex (Şen and Aydın, 2000; Türkmen et al., 2002; Yüksel, 2002; Yılmaz et al., 2003; Güneş 2007; Çoban and Şen 2011) and the ages and $L \infty$ values of the present study were consistent with the previous studies (Table 5).

The exponent "b" in the length and weight relationships of $C$. umbla varied from 2.459 to 3.199 (for combined sex) (Şen and Aydın, 2000; Türkmen et al., 2002; Yüksel, 2002; Yılmaz et al., 2003; Güneş 2007; Çoban and Şen 2011) (Table 5).

Table 4. Length based cohort analysis of C. umbla in Lake Hazar ( $L_{\infty}=53.77 ; \mathrm{K}=0.16 ; \mathrm{t}_{0}=\quad-1.84 ; \mathrm{M}=0.363$ )

| $\mathrm{L} 1-\mathrm{L} 2$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{(\mathrm{L} 1)}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{t}$ | H | C | $\mathrm{N}_{(\mathrm{L} 1)}$ | $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Z}$ | F | Z | Mean <br> N | Mean <br> $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{kg})$ | Yield <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12-14$ | 0.02 | 0.30 | 1.057 | 228 | 390151 | 0.006 | 0.002 | 0.365 | 113179 | 3551 | 7 |
| $14-16$ | 0.04 | 0.32 | 1.060 | 123 | 348839 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.364 | 106131 | 4850 | 5 |
| $16-18$ | 0.36 | 0.34 | 1.064 | 528 | 310190 | 0.014 | 0.005 | 0.368 | 99129 | 6274 | 33 |
| $18-20$ | 0.70 | 0.36 | 1.067 | 1687 | 273678 | 0.048 | 0.018 | 0.381 | 91961 | 7759 | 142 |
| $20-22$ | 1.06 | 0.38 | 1.072 | 7617 | 238610 | 0.201 | 0.091 | 0.454 | 83609 | 9122 | 831 |
| $22-24$ | 1.44 | 0.41 | 1.077 | 13549 | 200642 | 0.338 | 0.185 | 0.548 | 73148 | 10070 | 1865 |
| $24-26$ | 1.85 | 0.43 | 1.082 | 15904 | 160541 | 0.417 | 0.260 | 0.623 | 61224 | 10422 | 2707 |
| $26-28$ | 2.28 | 0.47 | 1.088 | 22387 | 122413 | 0.564 | 0.470 | 0.833 | 47582 | 9847 | 4633 |
| $28-30$ | 2.75 | 0.50 | 1.096 | 14104 | 82753 | 0.528 | 0.406 | 0.769 | 34772 | 8622 | 3497 |
| $30-32$ | 3.26 | 0.55 | 1.105 | 10689 | 56027 | 0.540 | 0.426 | 0.789 | 25106 | 7367 | 3136 |
| $32-34$ | 3.81 | 0.60 | 1.116 | 7372 | 36224 | 0.537 | 0.421 | 0.784 | 17493 | 6008 | 2532 |
| $34-36$ | 4.41 | 0.67 | 1.129 | 3393 | 22503 | 0.433 | 0.277 | 0.640 | 12257 | 4881 | 1351 |
| $36-38$ | 5.08 | 0.75 | 1.145 | 5445 | 14660 | 0.661 | 0.709 | 1.072 | 7683 | 3517 | 2493 |
| $38-40$ | 5.82 | 0.85 | 1.166 | 3419 | 6426 | 0.738 | 1.022 | 1.385 | 3345 | 1748 | 1786 |
| $40-42$ | 6.67 | 0.98 | 1.195 | 816 | 1793 | 0.669 | 0.733 | 1.096 | 1113 | 659 | 483 |
| $42-44$ | 7.65 | 1.16 | 1.124 | 160 | 573 | 0.489 | 0.348 | 0.711 | 460 | 307 | 107 |
| $44-\infty$ | 8.81 |  |  | 123 | 246 | 0.500 | 0.363 | 0.726 | 339 | 253 | 92 |



Figure 4. The length based cohort analysis of C. umbla in Lake Hazar.

In the present study, the exponent "b" was estimated as 2.466 for females, 2.267 for male and 2.390 for combined sex and these were lower than those of the previous studies. Length and weight relationships in the present study were estimated by using the total lengths and total weights. However, the same exponents in the previous studies were estimated from the fork lengths and total weights. In addition to sampling methods and times were different. So, the differences between our estimates and the previous results may have resulted from the different nature of data.

The total mortality rate of $C$. umbla population in Lake Hazar was found to be $\mathrm{Z}=0.712$ and fishing mortality rate (F) was 0.349 and natural mortality rate (M) was 0.363 . Approximately $51 \%$ of the stock died during the fisheries season, and
$25 \%$ was due to the fisheries activities and $26 \%$ was because of natural reasons. The optimum exploitation rate ( E ) is assumed to be 0.50 for sustainable fishery and it was estimated as 0.49 . This situation shows that mortality rates in Lake Hazar were suitable for sustainable fisheries.

In the present study, the stock size of C. umbla was assessed with two independent methods; first by mark and recapture method and second by length based cohort analysis. Mean stock sizes and lower and higher stock sizes with their confidence intervals were estimated as $91601 \mathrm{~kg}\left(\mathrm{CI}_{(95 \%)}\right.$ : $\left.85163-99061 \mathrm{~kg}\right)$ and 382627 individuals $\quad\left(\mathrm{CI}_{(95 \%)}\right.$ : $\quad 355736-413916$ individuals) with mark and recapture method and they were $95256 \mathrm{~kg}\left(\mathrm{CI}_{(95 \%)}: 55079-263225 \mathrm{~kg}\right)$ and 367219 individuals ( $\mathrm{CI}_{(95 \%)}$ : 240521-868729 individuals) with length based cohort analysis. The results obtained


Figure 5. Yield-Biomass relationships and maximum sustainable yield (MSY) of C. umbla in Lake Hazar.

Table 5. Population characteristics of C. umbla presented in different studies and their comparison with the results of the present study. (F: Female, M: Male, C: Combined sex)

| Population | Sex | N | Age | b | L $\infty$ | K | $\mathrm{t}_{0}$ | L (Range) | W (Range) | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lake Hazar | F | 180 | 2-13 | 3.006 | 68.61 | 0.076 | -2.04 | 18.70-47.20 | 55.50-902.00 |  |
|  | M | 164 | 2-13 | 3.097 | 71.49 | 0.064 | -2.63 | 19.50-46.00 | 57.00-900.50 | 1 |
|  | C | 346* | 1-13 | 3.186 | 68.62 | 0.074 | -2.20 | 18.70-47.20 | 55.50-902.00 |  |
| Lake Hazar | F | 53 | 2-7 | 3.204 | - | - | - | 19.96-36.25 | 67.33-419.00 |  |
|  | M | 48 | 1-7 | 3.263 | - | - | - | 12.35-34.25 | 13.62-405.50 | 2 |
|  | C | 101 | 1-7 | 3.199 | - | - | - | 12.35-35.25 | 13.62-412.25 |  |
| Lake Hazar | F | 96 | 2-7 | 2.746 | 72.24 | 0.064 | -2.53 | 18.62-38.30 | 56.41-527.10 |  |
|  | M | 132 | 2-7 | 2.690 | 64.73 | 0.061 | -3.65 | 19.21-32.05 | 68.48-327.50 | 3 |
|  | C | 228 | 2-7 | 2.704 | 68.62 | 0.062 | -3.04 | 19.00-34.13 | 64.03-394.03 |  |
| Lake Hazar | F | 237 | 1-10 | 2.466 | 49.22 | 0.20 | -1.88 | 14.31-44.65 | 43.98-736.25 |  |
|  | M | 127 | 1-10 | 2.262 | 56.17 | 0.13 | -1.62 | 13.71-44.80 | 42.07-874.00 | 4 |
|  | C | 364 | 1-10 | 2.390 | 53.77 | 0.16 | -1.84 | 13.95-44.68 | 42.81-763.80 |  |
| Keban | F | 109 | 2-7 | 2.772 | 75.68 | 0.050 | -6.91 | 26.68-37.06 | 172.71-547.68 |  |
| Reservoir | M | 123 | 2-7 | 2.678 | 69.42 | 0.073 | -4.49 | 25.48-37.10 | 178.81-519.40 | 3 |
|  | C | 232 | 2-7 | 2.727 | 73.41 | 0.059 | -5.67 | 25.98-37.06 | 176.27-542.96 |  |
| Tercan | F | 165 | 1-6 | 2.321 | 41.64 | 0.196 | -0.69 | 11.62-31.84 | 21.91-346.18 |  |
| Reservoir | M | 158 | 1-6 | 2.485 | 40.60 | 0.219 | -0.29 | 12.35-31.06 | 26.70-327.61 | 5 |
|  | C | 323 | 1-6 | 2.674 | 41.11 | 0.201 | -0.54 | 12.00-31.65 | 24.43-341.54 |  |
| Tuzla | F | 146 | 1-6 | 2.400 | 54.17 | 0.124 | -1.54 | 12.11-32.67 | 23.99-330.96 |  |
| Stream | M | 161 | 1-6 | 2.532 | 46.08 | 0.151 | -1.34 | 12.67-31.00 | 28.65-277.31 | 5 |
|  | C | 307 | 1-6 | 2.459 | 52.15 | 0.137 | -1.35 | 12.42-32.34 | 26.54-320.23 |  |
| Euphrates | F | 260 | 1-7 | 2.955 | - | - |  | 7.52-27.85 | 4.80230 .60 |  |
| River | M | 276 | 1-7 | 2.979 | - | - |  | 7.76-29.26 | 5.40-261.30 | 6 |
|  | C | 536 | 1-7 | 2.962 | - | - |  | 7.63-28.79 | 5.18-2.962 |  |
| Karasu | F | 506 | 1-12 | 2.936 | 45.70 | 0.139 | -0.83 | 10.40-34.20 | 15.00-557.00 |  |
| River | M | 665 | 1-10 | 2.991 | 42.30 | 0.146 | -0.98 | 10.90-32.30 | 18.00-428.00 | 7 |

Ref: (1) Şen and Aydın (2000) *two individual is juvenile; (2) Yüksel (2002); (3) Çoban and Şen (2011); (4) Present study; (5) Güneş (2007) ; (6) Yılmaz et al. (2003); (7) Türkmen et al. (2002).
with two methods are close to each other, however, statistically, mark and recapture method provide more accurate results than those of the length based cohort analysis because of its lower standard errors and narrower confidence intervals. The mark and recapture method was applied for carp (C. carpio) population in Lake Mogan (Düzgüneş, 1985) and pike perch (Stizostedion lucioperca (Linnaeus, 1758)) population in Lake Eğirdir (Erk'akan and Bayrak, 1992). However, fin cutting was applied as marking
method instead of external tagging such as T-Bar anchor tags. Fin cutting has some disadvantage because it may become invisible in time. Length based cohort analysis was applied for carp population in Lake Gölhisar (Alp and Balık, 2000), pike perch population in Lake Eğirdir (Balık et al., 2004) and for northern pike (Esox lucius Linnaeus, 1758) population in Lake Karamık (Çubuk et al., 2005) in Turkey.

It was predicted that if the fishing effort was
increased 2.4 times the maximum sustainable yield (MSY) would have been obtained from the stock. This means that the current number fishing boats (7 boats) in the lake should be increased to 17 . This is not consistent with the present estimates of mortality rates and stock exploitation rate (E). Because, in order to ensure a sustainable fisheries, the exploitation rate (E) should be around 0.50 and it was estimated to be 0.49 in the present study. In addition, the present yield ( 25721 kg ) and predicted maximum sustainable yield ( 27070 kg ) are very close to each other. Thus, it is advisable to sustain the fishing effort in Lake Hazar at its current level.
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