Effect of Net Colours on Efficiency of Monofilament Gillnets for Catching Some Fish Species in Lake Beyşehir

İsmet Balık^{1,*}, Hıdır Çubuk¹

¹ Fisheries Research Institute, 32500 Eğirdir-Isparta/Turkey

* Corresponding Author: Tel.: + 90. 246. 311 22 96; Fax: + 90. 246. 311 35 39; E-mail: i_balik@yahoo.com

Recieved 30 March 2001 Accepted 11 June 2001

Abstract

Experiments were conducted with monofilament gillnets of different colours (black, white, blue, yellow, red, light green, dark green and brown) in Lake Beyşehir of Turkey from May 1998 to August 1999, to compare their capture efficiencies on tench, *Tinca tinca* L., carp, *Cyprinus carpio* L., pikeperch, *Stizostedion lucioperca* (L.), and chub, *Leuciscus lepidus* Heckel.

Most fish were caught in the red, yellow, brown and blue gillnets for tench, carp, pikeperch and chub. The total catch of four species was the highest in the red nets. Generally, the colour of conventional gillnets in the lake is light green. The catching efficiency of this colour was lower than the other colours for each species. The results showed that it is possible to select species by choice of net colour.

Key Words: gillnet, net colour, fishing efficiency, tench, carp, pikeperch, chub.

Introduction

Gillnets are used widely in the coastal and inland fisheries of the world because of their versatility, low cost, and ease of operation. A total of 44 583 t of freshwater fish were caught in Turkey in 1995 (Atay, 1998), usually with gillnets and trammel nets. Thus, these gears are important in inland fisheries of Turkey. The efficiency of these net types are influenced by mesh size, exposed net area, floatation, mesh shape and hanging ratios, visibility and type of netting material in relation with stiffness, and breaking strength. Knowledge of the efficiency of gillnets is important for the reconstruction of the population in fish stock (Machiels *et al.*, 1994).

In this paper, the effect of net colour of monofilament gillnets on fish capture efficiency was investigated in Lake Beyşehir. The colour of gillnets has the greatest effect in day fishing or in fishing in limpid water. Visibility of the nets depends on net colour and the tone contrast with the background, which can be affected by the time of day, and the seasonal changes in water clarity or colour (Backiel and Welcomme. 1980). Andreev (1966)recommended that dark-coloured nets should be used in good light or clear water and light-coloured nets in turbid water. Fish are known as myopic, therefore they can see 10 m at a 20 m depth from the surface (Taşdemir, 1997). Fish can distinguish colours, and also different coloured nets may show significant differences in catches (Backiel and Welcomme, 1980)

Gillnets are made with fine twines that are chosen to be relatively invisible to the fish, particularly at low light intensities. When a net is invisible, the target fish are unaware of its presence and then swim into it, and may become trapped by the meshes (Wardle *et al.*, 1991). Gillnets of different colours are used by fishermen in inland water fisheries of Turkey, but the colour of the nets is chosen by the net seller. Generally, they do not considered any criteria. In this paper, the effect of colour on the capture of tench, *Tinca tinca* L., carp, *Cyprinus carpio* L., pikeperch, *Stizostedion lucioperca* (L.), and chub, *Leuciscus lepidus* Heckel, by monofilament gillnets of different colours were investigated.

Materials and Methods

Experiments were conducted in Lake Beyşehir, which is the largest natural fresh water lake of Turkey. The lake is in the central Anatolia region of Turkey ($37^{\circ}33'-37^{\circ}59'N$, $31^{\circ}19'-31^{\circ}44'E$). It has a surface of 690 km^{2,} and its mean depth is 6 m (Balık, 1997). In the lake there are tench, carp, pikeperch, chub and nose, *Chondrostoma nasus* L. Pikeperch and tench were introduced into the lake in 1978 (Erdem *et al.*, 1985) and in the early 1990s (Balık *et al.*, 1997). Nose was rarely caught in the experimental nets. In Lake Beyşehir, there were 916 boats and 1519 fishermen in 1996 (Balık, 1997).

Capture experiments were carried out simultaneously in the same area of the lake to give approximately identical fishing conditions from May 1998 to August 1999. A total of 46 sets of gillnets with 8 different colours were used. The colours of the nets were black, white, blue, yellow, red, light green, dark green, and brown. Except for the net colours all characteristics of the nets were similar. Individual

[©] Central Fisheries Research Institute (CFRI) Trabzon, Turkey and Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA)

nets had a length of 120 m and their mesh sizes were 36 mm (knot to knot). The depth and the hanging ratio of each net were 50 meshes and 0.60. The net twine diameters were \emptyset 18 mm. Nets were joined to one another with 2 m intervals from their float and lead lines. In each experiment, the positions of the nets were changed in turn. In the fishing experiments, all nets were set between 9.00 and 10.00 am, and hauled in the following day between 8.00 and 9.00 am. The fish caught in each net were counted and weighed for each species.

ANOVA was executed to test the significance of differences in the number of fish caught in the different-coloured gillnets (Çömlekçi, 1988).

Results

Catch by number

Altogether, 2070 tench, 311 carp, 157 pikeperch and 102 chub were caught in the fishing experiments (Table 1).

Most fish were caught in the nets of red (15%), yellow (14.5%), brown (19%) and blue colours (20.6%) for tench, carp, pikeperch, and chub. The proportion of fish caught in the red net was the

highest (14.5%) (Table 2).

The red gillnets caught 1.16, 1.18, 1.23, 1.23, 1.23, 1.24 and 1.30 times more fish than black, white, blue, light green, dark green, yellow and brown nets for tench (Table 3). Yellow, brown and blue gillnets were more effective than the other colours for carp, pikeperch, and chub. The listing of the net colours in order at fishing efficiency for fish species are shown in Table 4. In respect to the capture of all species, the red net was the most effective. Black, white, light green, yellow, blue, dark green and brown-coloured nets followed this net. The differences between catches of gillnets of different colours were statistically not significant (P>0.05).

Discussion

Net colour affects the catching efficiency of gillnets for the capturing of tench, carp, pikeperch, and chub in Lake Beyşehir. Most fish were caught with red, yellow, brown and blue nets for tench, carp, pikeperch and chub. It was shown that the visibility of nets of the same colour by species might be different, because the reaction to the net colour varies with the species of fish (Hamley, 1975). Observations in the aquarium on cod showed that when confronted by

Table 1. Numbers of tench, carp, pikeperch and chub caught with gillnets of different colours (L: light, D: dark).

Species	Black	White	Blue	Yellow	Red	L. Green	D. Green	Brown	Total
Tench	267	263	253	250	310	253	252	222	2070
Carp	41	37	37	45	41	40	28	42	311
Pikeperch	15	20	14	23	19	24	14	28	157
Chub	13	14	21	8	14	12	11	9	102
Total	336	334	325	326	384	329	305	301	2640

Table 2. The percent distributions of the number of tench, carp, pikeperch and chub caught with gillnets of different colours.

Species	Black	White	Blue	Yellow	Red	L. Green	D. Green	Brown
Tench	12.9	12.7	12.2	12.1	15.0	12.2	12.2	10.7
Carp	13.2	11.9	11.9	14.5	13.2	12.9	9.0	13.5
Pikeperch	9.6	12.7	8.9	14.7	12.1	15.3	8.9	17.8
Chub	12.7	13.7	20.6	7.8	13.7	11.8	10.8	8.8
Total	12.7	12.7	12.3	12.3	14.5	12.5	11.6	11.4

Table 3. The relative efficiencies of gillnets of different colours derived from the catching amounts for each net. [Ratio=catch of the most effective-coloured net / catch of each one of the other nets, for each species].

Tench	Ratio	Carp	Ratio	Pikeperch	Ratio	Chub	Ratio
Red: Black	1.16	Yellow: Brown	1.07	Brown: Light Green	1.17	Blue: Red	1.50
Red: White	1.18	Yellow: Black	1.10	Brown: Yellow	1.22	Blue: White	1.50
Red: Blue	1.23	Yellow: Red	1.10	Brown: White	1.40	Blue: Black	1.62
Red: Light Green	1.23	Yellow: Light Green	1.13	Brown: Red	1.47	Blue: Light Green	1.75
Red: Dark Green	1.23	Yellow: White	1.22	Brown: Black	1.87	Blue: Dark Green	1.91
Red: Yellow	1.24	Yellow: Blue	1.22	Brown: Blue	2.00	Blue: Brown	2.33
Red: Brown	1.40	Yellow: Dark Green	1.61	Brown: Dark Green	2.00	Blue: Yellow	2.63

	Tench	Carp	Pikeperch	Chub
The most effective	Red	Yellow	Brown	Blue
\downarrow	Black	Brown	Light Green	Red
\downarrow	White	Black	Yellow	White
\downarrow	Blue	Red	White	Black
\downarrow	Light Green	Light Green	Red	Light Green
\downarrow	Dark Green	White	Black	Dark Green
\downarrow	Yellow	Blue	Blue	Brown
The least effective	Brown	Dark Green	Dark Green	Yellow

Table 4. Listing of net colours in order at fishing efficiency for fish species.

such a net, the fish swam parallel to the netting then turned into the less visible material close to the panel joins (Stewart, 1984). It was reported by Narayanappa *et al.* (1977) that most of the catch was caught in white net, and this was followed by yellow, grey, green and blue nets in inland waters of Burla in India.

Steinberg (1985) reported the dark green gillnets to be the most effective for cod in the west part of Baltic Sea. The colour of conventional nets in Lake Beyşehir was commonly light green. In this study, the catching efficiency of the light green net was found lower than the other colours for each species. Additionally, catches of the light and dark green nets were similar for tench and chub, but the light green net was 1.43 and 1.71 times more effective than the dark green net for catching carp and pikeperch.

Kara (1992) found the dark red nets to be more efficient than the light red nets in the daylight for *Diplodus annularis* L. in the Aegean Sea. Steinberg and Bohl (1985) found the light green nets more effective than the dark of those on the capture of cod, turbot, and flounder in the North Sea. It is suggested that even the different tones of the same colour may be influential on the catching efficiency of gillnets for fish species.

Legget and Jones (1971) reported that American shad, Alosa sapidissima, avoided driftnets even on dark moonless nights. Presumably detecting net vibrations by their lateral lines, most commonly net avoidance depends on sight. Fishing is often undertaken at night when fish are less able to recognise existence of the net (Sainsbury, 1996), but in Lake Beyşehir, the nets in conventional fisheries are set in the mornings and hauled the next morning, thus the catching are almost continual. Fish caught in the nets are separated from the nets and again the nets are set into the lake. Therefore, visibility of the gillnets is important in commercial fishing. The visibility of the relatively invisible materials is decreased by reduced light intensity; this may be due to the day-to-night change or increased depth (Wardle et al., 1991). Lake Beyşehir is a shallow lake (its mean depth is 6 m), thus the sun and moonlight can penetrate up to the deep of the lake.

Tench is the dominant species in the lake, and during the trials the relative abundance of this species

was much higher than the other species. We observed that the tench were being intensively caught in the nets of the fishermen, and this situation affected the catching effectiveness of the nets for the other species. The number of fish in the net reaches a saturation level and does not increase further (Hamley, 1975). Choosing the proper colour can reduce catches of unwanted species, without affecting catches of the target species (Steinberg, 1962; Jester, 1973). It will increase the catching efficiency of the nets if the yellow and brown nets are used instead of the light green nets for carp and pikeperch. Additionally, the results of the study showed that it is possible to choose a suitable net colour for the catching of target species in reconstruction of the population in fish stock assessment.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the authorities of Japan SIGMA Fishing Net Company and its Turkish representative Adem Kayışoğlu who provided the experimental nets of the study.

References

- Andreev, N.N. 1966. Gillnets and tangle nets, Commercial Fishing Gear. 61-70.
- Atay, D. 1998. Su ürünleri ve üretim hedefleri. III. Su Ürünleri Sempozyumu, 10-12 Haziran 1998, Atatürk Üniversitesi, Ziraat Fakültesi, Su Ürünleri Bölümü, Erzurum, 1-22.
- Backiel, T. and Welcomme, R.L. 1980. Guidelines For Sampling Fish in Inland Waters. EIFAC Technical Paper No: 33, 53 pp.
- Balık, İ. 1997. Beyşehir Gölü'nde avlanan sudak Stizostedion lucioperca ve sazan Cyprinus carpio balığı miktarları üzerine bir araştırma. IX. Ulusal Su Ürünleri Sempozyumu, 17-19 Eylül 1997, Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi, Su Ürünleri Fakültesi, Eğirdir, Cilt 1, 25-34.
- Balık, İ., Kuşat, M. ve Bolat, Y. 1997. Kadife balığının (*Tinca tinca* L., 1758) Beyşehir ve Eğirdir göllerine aşılanmasının etkileri. IX. Ulusal Su Ürünleri Sempozyumu, 17-19 Eylül 1997, Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi, Su Ürünleri Fakültesi, Eğirdir, Cilt 2, 771-777.
- Çömlekçi, N. 1988. Deney Tasarımı ve Çözümlemesi.

Anadolu Üniversitesi, Eğitim, Sağlık ve Bilimsel Araştırma Çalışmaları Vakfı Yayınları No:58, 312 s.

- Erdem, Ü., Sarıhan, E. ve Erdem, C. 1985. Beyşehir Gölü sudak (*Stizostedion lucioperca* Lin., 1758) populasyonunun meristik özellikleriyle gelişme, boy/ağırlık ilişkisi ve kondisyon üzerine bir araştırma. Cumhuriyet Üniversitesi, Fen-Edebiyat Fakültesi, Fen Bilimleri Dergisi, 3: 237-253.
- Hamley, J.M. 1975. Review of gillnets selectivity. Journal of Fisheries Research Board of Canada, 32: 1943-1969.
- Jester, D.B. 1973. Variations in catchability of fishes with color of gillnet. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc., 102: 109-115.
- Kara, A. 1992. Ege bölgesi uzatma ağları ve uzatma ağları balıkçılığının geliştirilmesi üzerine araştırmalar. Doktora Tezi, İzmir: Ege Üniversitesi, Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü, Su Ürünleri Anabilim Dalı, 70 s.
- Leggett, W.C. and Jones, R.A. 1971. Net avoidance behaviour in American shad (*Alosa sapidissima*) as observed by ultrasonic tracking techniques. J. Fish Res. Board Can., 28: 1167-1171.
- Machiels, M.A.M., Klinge, M., Lanters, R. and van Densen, W.L.T. 1994. Effect of snood length and hanging ratio efficiency and selectivity of bottom-set gillnets for pikeperch *Stizostedion lucioperca* L. and bream *Abramis brama*. Fisheries Research, 9: 231-239.

- Narayanappa, G., Khan, A.A. and Naidu, R.M. 1977. Coloured gill nets for reservoir fishing. Fish Technol. Soc., 14 (1): 44-48.
- Sainsbury, J.C. 1996. Commercial Fishing Methods, An Introduction to Vessels and Gears. Third Edition, Fishing News Books, 359 pp.
- Steinberg, R. 1962. Die fangigkeit von kiemennetzen für barsch und plötze in abhangigkeit von den eigenschaften des netzmaterials, der netzkonstruktion und der reaktion der fische. Arch. Fischereiwiss, 12: 173-230.
- Steinberg, R. 1985. Fisheries with gill and trammel nets and their applicability in the Baltic and North Sea. Fishereitech, 15(68): 7-96.
- Steinberg, R. and Bohl, H. 1985. Experimental fishing with gillnets in the Soutthern North Sea. Inf. Fischwirtsch, 32 (3): 132-134.
- Stewart, P.A.M. 1984. Gill net selectivity in the North-East Scottish inshore cod fishery. International Council For the Exploration of The Sea, Fish Capture Committee, 7 pp.
- Taşdemir, O. 1997. Av Araçları ve Yapım Tekniği. Baki Kitabevi, 214 s.
- Wardle, C.S., Cui, G., Mojsiewics, W.R. and Glass, C.W. 1991. The effect of colour on the appearance of monofilament nylon under water. Fisheries Research, 10: 243-253.