

Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 13: 407-414 (2013)

Effects of Probiotic (*Bacillus* sp.) Supplementation during Larval Development of Gilthead Sea Bream (*Sparus aurata*, L.)

Nihan Arığ¹, Cüneyt Suzer^{1,*}, Alize Gökvardar¹, Fatih Başaran², Deniz Çoban³, Şükrü Yıldırım¹, H. Okan Kamacı¹, Kürşat Fırat¹, Şahin Saka¹

¹ Ege University, Faculty of Fisheries, Aquaculture Department, 35100, Bornova, Izmir, Turkey.

² Nektar Yem Inc. Narlıdere, İzmir, Turkey.

³ Adnan Menderes University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Aquaculture Engineering, 09010 Aydın, Turkey.

* Corresponding Author: Tel.: +90.232 3115214; Fax: +90.232 3883685;	Received 8 October 2012
E-mail: cuneyt.suzer@ege.edu.tr	Accepted 10 June 2013

Abstract

In this study, the effects of administration of commercial probiotic, *Bacillus* sp., were examined on growth parameters and digestive proteases (alkaline and acid proteases) activities in gilthead sea bream, *Sparus aurata*, during larval development until on day 40. Probiotics were supplemented in triplicate from on day 3 coinciding with starting of exogenous feeding. In the experimental group, probiotic was introduced to rotifer and tank water until 20 days after hatching. Also, control group did not receive probiotic. In experimental group, the specific activities of alkaline protease was significantly higher (p<0.05) in larvae compared to control group. Acid protease activity was not effected by probiotic supplementation however, it was relatively higher in experimental group than the control (P>0.05). Additionally, no significant differences were found in both survival (8.5% higher) and specific growth rate (0.7% higher) larvae of *S. aurata* that had probiotic supplemented by rotifer with water as compared to controls (P>0.05). Consequently, specific activities of alkaline and acid protease could be significantly increased by the administration of *Bacillus* sp. to live food with water the and therefore; this method would be more effective for obtaining of relatively better growth parameters and nutritional condition in *S. aurata* larvae.

Keywords: Bacillus, Probiotic, digestive enzyme activity, growth, survival, Sparus aurata.

Probiotik (Bacillus sp.) Eklenmesinin Çipura (Sparus aurata, L.) Larvalarında Gelişime Olan Etkileri

Özet

Bu çalışmada, çipura larva yetiştiriciliğinde 40. güne kadar ticari bir ürün olan probiotik bakteri (*Bacillus* sp.) eklenmesinin larvalarda büyüme parametreleri ve sindirim enzimleri (alkalin ve asit proteaz) üzerine olan etkileri incelenmiştir. Bütün denemeler 3 tekrarlı yürütülmüş ve probiotik eklenmesi ağız açılımı ile birlikte eş zamanlı olarak yumurtadan çıktıktan sonraki 3. günden itibaren uygulanmıştır. Deneme grubunda, probiotik bakteriler 20. güne kadar rotifer kültürüne ve tank ortamına eklenmiştir. Bunun yanında, kontrol grubuna herhangi bir uygulama yapılmamıştır. Deneme grubunda, alkalin proteaz spesifik aktivitesi kontrol grubuna göre önemli derecede yüksek bulunmuştur (P<0,05). Asit proteaz aktivitesi ise probiotik eklenmesinden etkilenmemiş bununla birlikte kontrol grubuna görece aktivite görece yüksek tespit edilmiştir (P>0,05). Ek olarak, rotifer kültürüne ve tank ortamına eklenen çipura larvalarının yaşama oranı (%8,5 daha yüksek) ve spesifik büyüme oranı (%0.7 daha yüksek) değerleri kontrol grubuna göre önemsiz farklılıklar göstermiştir (P>0,05). Sonuç olarak, probiotiklerin canlı yem kültürü ve tank ortamına eklenmesi alkalın ve asit proteaz aktivitesini önemli oranda artırmış, bu yüzden probiotiklerin bu yöntemle uygulanmasının büyüme parametreleri ve besinsel durumları açısından daha etkili olduğu sonucuna varılmıştır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Bacillus, probiotik, sindirim enzimleri aktivitesi, büyüme, yaşama oranı, Sparus aurata.

Introduction

During the last decade, using of chemical drugs, especially antibiotics, have become limited due to developing of resistance by certain pathogens. Therefore, in order to control and/or elimination of using of antibiotics in aquacultural systems more efforts have been conducted for obtaining of knowledge This situation is accelerated to use alternative methods for solution of this problem. It is commonly reported that in order to optimize aquatic environment, preventing fish diseases and improving to control pathogens in culture systems, the supplementation of probiotics is the common method.

[©] Published by Central Fisheries Research Institute (CFRI) Trabzon, Turkey in cooperation with Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA), Japan

been Probiotics have identified as live microorganisms colonized in the intestine and/or animal originated microbial supplements could be effected fish health by improving the intestinal microbial balance. (Gatesoupe, 1999, 2007; Verschuere et al., 2000; Balcázar et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2008; Dimitroglou et al., 2011). Nowadays, probiotics in aquaculture has being commonly supplemented for controlling of disease and also rising up of feeding and husbandry parameters. As determined by several authors, Bacillus species bacteria are widely used as a probiotic bacteria in aquatic animal nutrition such as Bacillus cereus, B. toyoi, B. licheniformis and B. subtilis (Balcázar et al., 2006; Ziaei-Nejad et al., 2006; Wen-Ying et al., 2010; Avella et al., 2010; Jafaryan et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2012; Mohapatra et al., 2012). The useful effects of these probiotics as a nutrient supplementary additive include relatively higher rates of growth feed efficiency, and also prevention of intestinal disorders and pre digestion of anti-nutritional factors present in the ingredients. Also, recent studies focused on probiotics are mainly related with resistance to some aquatic pathogens such as Vibrio sp. (Villamil et al., 2003; Planas et al., 2006), Amyloodinium ocellatum (Li et al., 2005), Carnobacterium sp. (Robertson et al., 2000), and microbial monitoring but some studies especially aimed on growth performance, feeding parameters, and also increase of feed efficiency with enzymatic activity in fish. Increasing efforts and interests for description of possible use of probiotics in both marine fish species such as summer flounder, Paralichthys dentatus, (Eddy and Jones, 2002) common dentex, Dentex dentex, (Hidalgo et al., 2006) and Japanese flounder, Paralichthys olivaceus, (Taoka et al., 2006) and freshwater teleosts such as rohu, Labeo rohita (Ghosh et al., 2003), the Chinese carps, *Hypophthalmichthys* molitrix and Ctenopharyngodon idella, common carp, Cyprinus carpio, tilapia, Oreochromis mossambica, walking catfish, Clarias batrachus, the murrel, Channa punctatus, (Bairagi et al., 2002), rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss (Panigrahi et al., 2005), and Nile tilapia Oreochromis niloticus (El-Haroun et al., 2006).

More studies have been focused that probiotics has been widely using for increasing both feed conversion ratio and efficiency and also digestive enzyme activities. However, little studies have been carried out based on growth performances and digestive enzyme activities to incorporate probiotics effects in marine fish cultured species *S. aurata* (Salinas *et al.*, 2005, 2006; Díaz–Rosales *et al.*, 2006; Suzer *et al.*, 2008; Avella *et al.*, 2010) freshwater carp species *Cyprinus carpio* (Wang and Xu, 2006) and *Ctenopharyngodon idella*, (Wu *et al.*, 2012) and also shrimp *Penaeus vannamei*, (Wang, 2007; Zhou *et al.*, 2009) Also, beneficial effects of probiotics are well known in aquaculture, there is limited information about influence of *Bacillus* sp. probiotics on digestive enzyme activities in gilthead sea bream (*S. aurata*), which is one of the most valuable and economic species cultured in Mediterranean aquaculture. The aim of this study is to investigate using of *Bacillus* sp. and their mix, as probiotics supplements by live food for gilthead sea bream (*S. aurata*), until 40 days after hatching (DAH) during the larval development.

Materials and Methods

Larval Rearing

Larviculture was conducted in green water recirculated system in triplicate and larvae were stocked 80 ind.1⁻¹ densities at 10 m³ cylindrical tanks and also larval rearing parameters and protocols were carried out according to Suzer et al. (2008). Physiochemical parameters of sea water such as temperature, dissolved oxygen, salinity, pH, ammonia and nitrite levels were recorded daily. During the larval rearing, water temperature was gradually increased between 17 and 22 °C. Moreover, oxygen saturation (>85%), salinity (38.0%) and pH (7.7) were maintained, respectively. Ammonia and nitrite were measured and presented constant values always which were below 0.01 mg.1⁻¹. No flow rate in tanks was performed for the first 2 days of the culture period. From day 3 to 12, the tank water was partially replaced (5-7% daily) by draining a filter (200 µm). Flow rate was increased slowly from 2 to 20% with the age of the larvae. Photoperiod was set on a 24 h light cycle daily until end of algal addition for green water technique (20 DAH) and then 16-h light and 8-h dark until end of the experiment (40 DAH).

Concurrently with mouth opening from 3 to 20 DAH, newly hatched larvae were fed by two strains of rotifers (Brachionus plicatilis but mainly with Brachionus rotundiformis at a density of 10-15 individuals.ml⁻¹ for sufficiently feeding of whole larvae and also they enriched with algae and enrichment media (S.presso, Artemia Systems SA, Ghent, Belgium) plus green-water composed of Nannochloropsis sp., Chlorella sp., Tetraselmis sp. and *Isochrysis* sp. at a density of $1.5-2 \times 10^5$ cells.ml⁻ ¹. Between 10 and 30 DAH, Artemia nauplii grade (AF 480 INVE Aquaculture, Ghent, Belgium) were introduced 4-7 individuals.ml⁻¹ densities and then Artemia metanauplii were supplemented from 25 DAH until on day 40 at 2-4 individuals.ml⁻¹ (EG, Artemia Systems SA, Ghent, Belgium), both enriched with Protein Selco (Artemia Systems SA, Ghent, Belgium). Larval feeding regime was summarized in Figure 1.

Experimental Design

The commercial probiotic used in this study Bactosafe (solid form) BernAqua NV, Olen, BELGIUM) contained spores of 3 species of *Bacillus* (i.e., *Bacillus subtilis*, *B. licheniformis* and *B. cereus*)



Figure 1. Growth of S. aurata larvae in weight up to day 40. Each mean±SD is a pool of 30 larvae (n=3).

and other bacteria *Pediococcus acidilactici*. Before administration of the probiotic, spores were rehydrated to vegetative bacteria according to manufacturer's instructions (10 ppm per m³).

In Experiment 1, probiotics was added to both live food (rotifer) and directly larval tank until 20 DAH.

Experiment 2 was accepted as control group which had received no probiotic in either live food or tank's water.

Sampling

In order to measure of growth, larvae collected from each groups and each tanks by 7 days interval until 35 DAH and at the end of the experiment. (30 larvae/group). Specific growth rate was calculated by formulae SGR (specific growth rate) = 100 (Ln FBW–Ln IBW)/ Δ t, with IBW, FBW: initial, final body weight of fish (mg), Δ t: time interval (day). At the end of the experiment, survival of larvae was determined by counting larvae which were remained in the tanks.

Dissection of the Digestive Tract

In order to perform enzymatic analysis, groups of larvae in triplicate sampled from each tank 7 days interval in similar with above sampling. For each sampling time, total 100 larvae until 10 DAH, total 75 larvae until 20 DAH and total 50 larvae were sampled until 40 DAH. Samples were usually collected at the same hour (08:00) and depths (30-50 cm), before food distribution. Digestive tracts were used for enzymatic analysis in larvae and therefore, larvae were dissected on a glass maintained at 0 °C for isolation of the gastrointestinal tract

Enzymatic Assays

Digestive tract samples were collected and

homogenised in 5 volumes v/w of ice-cold distilled water (pH 7.0). Extracts utilized for enzyme assays were obtained after homogenization of larvae (35 mg ml⁻¹) in cold 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.5, followed by centrifugation (13.500xg; 30 min at 4 °C). Alkaline protease activity was measured using the method of Walter (1984) using casein as substrate (pH 9.0). Acid protease activity was determined using haemoglobin as the substrate in (pH 2.0) (Anson, 1938). Supernatant absorbance was detected at 280 nm. Enzymatic activities were expressed as specific activities (mU/mg protein⁻¹). Protein was determined by the Bradford method (Bradford, 1976). All enzymatic analyses were measured weekly at intervals until 35 DAH and at the end of the experiment and also were analyzed by Jenway 6300 UV-Visible Spectrophotometer.

Statistical Analysis

All measurements were carried out in triplicate. Results are presented as mean \pm SD (n=30 for larval growth; n=3 for enzymatic analysis). Levene test was used for determination of the variance homogeneity. Significant differences at survival data and also larval growth and enzymatic activity data among experimental groups were compared by Fisher's chisquare test and by one–way ANOVA, followed by Newman–Keul's multiple range test, respectively at 5% level of significance.

Results

Larval Growth and Survival

Larval growth of *S. aurata* determined in experimental groups until 40 DAH is presented in Figure 1. In experimental group, larvae exhibited their weight by a factor more than of 20–fold multiplied from 7 to 40 DAH. At the end of the experiment, the best results on total length development and weight

were determined in Exp.1 probiotic given groups as 21.17 ± 1.8 mm and 28.12 ± 4.2 mg. Besides, these values were estimated as 17.03 ± 1.2 mm and 24.28 ± 3.1 mg for control group (Figure 1). There are no significant differences on weight and total length development in experimental and control group (P>0.05). Moreover, final survival rates as 16.7% and 8.2% and also specific growth rate were 7.8%.day⁻¹ and 7.1%.day⁻¹ for experimental and control group, respectively. Growth performance and husbandry parameters in experimental group was not significantly higher than control group (P>0.05).

Enzyme Activity

The specific activity of alkaline protease enzymes demonstrated exponentially increase more than 14-fold in experimental group to 28 DAH. After this date, this activity slightly declined until end of experiment. The peak of specific activity of alkaline protease enzymes was measured on day 28 in experimental group as 162.3 ± 18.3 mU/mg protein⁻¹ (Figure 2). There were significant differences between experimental and control group for alkaline protease enzyme activity (P<0.05).

In contrast to alkaline protease activity, no specific activity of acid protease was measured until 28 DAH, but after this date acid protease activity slowly increased consecutively in all groups. Especially, sudden increases were determined after 35 DAH synchronously with decreases in alkaline protease activity and also weaning by microdiet. At the end of the experiment, no significant differences were found between groups (P>0.05). The highest acid protease activity was measured on day 40 in experimental group on day 40 as 11.6 ± 2.1 U/mg



Days after hatching

Figure 2. Specific activities of alkaline protease during larval development of *S. aurata* up to day 40. Results are expressed as means±SD (n=3).



Figure 3. Specific activities of acid protease during larval development of *S. aurata* up to day 40. Results are expressed as means \pm SD (n=3).

protein⁻¹ (Figure 3).

Discussion

Growth in all experimental groups was satisfactory but supplementation of probiotic presented slight increases in growth performance of larvae as compared to control. Moreover, similar higher profiles for SGR and survival date were recorded in experimental groups however, it is clearly determined that probiotic administration by rotifer promoted growth parameters of larvae. Also, obtained results from experimental group which probiotic was supplemented by rotifer and water presented that growth parameters were relatively higher compared to control because of the fact that administration of the probiotic significantly changed the proportion of Bacillus bacteria in the gut flora (Salinas et al., 2005; Avella et al., 2010). Similar findings were noted in Indian white shrimp, Fenneropenaeus indicus, (Ziaei-Nejad et al., 2006), and shrimp Penaeus vannamei, (Wang, 2007). In these studies, it was reported that supplementation of probiotics by live food and/or extruded pellet food caused to significant increases in both growth performance and parameters in compared to basal diets and/or no probiotic administration. These findings were in agreement with freshwater species such as Nile tilapia, Oreochromis niloticus and carp, Cyprinus carpio, (Wang and Xu, 2006) and marine fish species such as red drum, Sciaenops ocellatus, (Li et al., 2005) and Japanese flounder, Paralichthys olivaceus, juveniles (Taoka et al., 2006).

In cultured species, it is well known that one of the main beneficial effects of probiotics is enhancement of nutritional conditions of host species which is accelerated of production of supplemental digestive enzymes, prevention of gastrointestinal obstacles and anti-nutritional factors present in the ingredients (Gatesoupe, 1999, 2007; Thompson et al., 1999; Balcázar et al., 2006). Concisely, after transition through the stomach, in order to maintain growth and promote of intestinal enzymes (amylase, protease and lipase) probiotics colonize in the intestine and utilize by a large number of carbohydrates (Gatesoupe, 1999; El-Haroun et al., 2006). However in aquaculture, probiotics could be supplemented as both food supplement and additive to the culture environment (Ziaei-Nejad et al., 2006; Suzer et al., 2008; Avella et al., 2010). In this study, Bacillus sp. probiotics were administered by live food (rotifer) and tank water, thus, it was clearly determined that probiotics colonized and worked effectively along the intestine and also accelerated larval growth, survival and especially digestive enzyme activities. Moreover, according to results, it is strongly presented that relatively better results for growth performance and enzymatic activity were obtained by rotifer supplementation due to colonization in live food guts and transition to larval fish gut by digestion process. It is well recorded that

all the probiotic-supplemented diet and/or addition of probiotics to diet caused to better growth, SGR and survival parameters by the same experimental design in some marine organisms such as shrimps Penaeus vannamei and Fenneropenaeus indicus (Ziaei-Nejad et al., 2006; Wang, 2007). Also, similar results were reported by Ghosh et al. (2003) for Indian carp Labeo rohita, red drum, Sciaenops ocellatus, (Li et al., 2005) and Japanese flounder, Paralichthys olivaceus, juveniles (Taoka et al., 2006) common carp C. carpio (Wang and Xu, 2006). In addition to these beneficial effects, it is clearly estimated that supplementation of probiotics by live food and/or culture water presented relatively better parameters on immune responses and for decline of bacterial activity in some teleosts such as S. aurata (Salinas et al., 2005; 2006; Díaz-Rosales et al., 2006), Paralichthys dentatus (Eddy and Jones, 2002), Scophthalmus maximus (Planas et al., 2006), and Salmo salar (Robertson et al., 2000) and also of aquatic organisms bacterial loading and environments (Gatesoupe, 1999, 2007; Balcázar et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2008).

As described by several authors, Bacillus bacteria have been widely used as probiotics and also especially B. subtilis, a gram-positive non-pathogenic, spore-forming bacterium, it has been widely using for oral bacterial therapy, prophylaxis of gastrointestinal disorders, improving culture environmental quality and the promote the survival of cultured organisms (Irene et al., 2005; Wang, 2007; Avella et al., 2010; Wen-Ying et al., 2010; Mohapatra et al., 2012). In addition to these, particularly, B. subtilis is known to produce digestive proteases and other enzymes that enable it to contribute to the natural digestion activity of the cultured species and it can be a source of micro and macro-elements as feed (Verschuere et al. 2000; Ziaei-Nejad et al., 2006; Jafaryan et al., 2011). It is commonly known that the knowledge on level of activity of digestive enzymes could be used as a descriptive tool to estimate growth of the fish larvae, digestive capacity and food preferences, as well as their further survival rate for cultured species. Also, determination of digestive enzyme activities could be better for identifying nutritional capabilities of these organisms during early ontogeny under culture conditions (Ueberschaer, 1993; Kolkovski, 2001; Zambonino Infante and Cahu, 2001; Suzer et al., 2008). In this study, Bacillus administration as probiotics is caused to significant increases in the specific activity of alkaline protease which is effectively works in alkaline pH ranges in gut of digestive tract of larvae. It is thought that this phenomenon could be related with gut colonization of Bacillus sp. which is caused to enhanced digestion and absorption of food, which in turn contributed to the accelerated survival and growth in S. aurata larvae. The promoted growth performance of larvae could be originated from improved digestive enzyme activities induced by the probiotics along the intestine. During the early ontogeny of Sparids,

digestive system where the probiotics could be worked effectively and because gram-positive bacteria especially members of the genus Bacillus could be secreted a wide range of exoenzymes (Ziaei-Nejad et al., 2006; Avella et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2012; Mohapatra et al., 2012). Furthermore, it is clearly determined that the administration methods strongly affected the success of gut colonization of the probiotics. In this study, administration of Bacillus probiotics to S. aurata larvae presented relatively better increased specific activities of alkaline protease in the larval gastrointestinal tract. Therefore, although Bacillus sp. supplementation significantly affected alkaline protease activity along the intestine, no significant differences were determined for acid protease activity. For this reason, specific activity of alkaline protease in experimental group was enhanced by probiotics supplementation. Similar findings are well noted by Avella et al. (2010) administered the mixture, composed of four B. subtilis, B. strains, B. licheniformis, and B. pumilus was provided via rotifers and Artemia nauplii and added to the water or supplied exclusively via live prey in sea bream larviculture. They noted that the Bacillus sp. mixture significantly increased growth in terms of growth parameters, feeding efficiency and metabolism at larval and juvenile stages of S. aurata (Avella et al., 2010). In our previous study, we supplemented Lactobacillus spp., probiotics under similar experimental conditions in larvae of S. aurata until 35 DAH, significant increases were found in both growth performance and digestive enzyme activities in larvae which probiotics had been supplemented by live food (rotifer and Artemia) and live food with water (Suzer et al., 2008). On the other hand, in order to establish successfully gut colonization, gastrointestinal pH could be stated in alkaline ranges between 8.0 and 10.0. This phenomenon is evidenced in this study that probiotic supplementation did not affect acid protease activity due to acidic pH ranges (1.5-2.0) after formation of functional stomach on day 38 in sea bream larvae (Suzer et al., 2007). Additionally, the mixture, composed of three probiotic bacteria Bacillus sp., Lactobacillus sp. and Saccharomyces cerevisiae were provided by iso-nitrogenous and iso-caloric diets in rohu (Labeo rohita) fingerlings. It is reported that the fish fed combination of three probiotics at equal proportion presented significantly higher growth. protein efficiency ratio, nutrient retention and digestive enzyme activities over other treatment groups (Mohapatra et al., 2012). These results are parallel with this study. Furthermore, similar results were recorded in grass carp, Ctenopharyngodon idella, juveniles and B. subtilis was provided as probiotic in different concentrations. Although, there were no significant differences in both growth parameters and feed conversion ratio as similar as in this study, it is interestingly recorded that digestive enzyme activities did not increase after long-term feeding with B. subtilis (56 days), but was still higher than that of control fish (Wu *et al.*, 2012). It is thought that it could be related with not efficiently colonization of *B. subtilis* in fish gut during the experiments. Also, the other reason might be evaluated that it is better to supplement not only one probiant but also mixtures of *Bacillus* spores (more than 3 species) by the diet. It is clearly thought that supplementation of probiotics effectively improve the digestive process in larvae of *S. aurata* by relatively increase on beneficial microorganisms and their microbial enzyme activity, establishment of microbial balance and enhance on the digestibility and absorption of food and feed utilization along the intestine.

In conclusion, this is the first study to examine the supplementation of *Bacillus* sp. probiotics and also its effects on husbandry parameters and digestive enzyme activities in *S. aurata* larvae. It is clearly estimated that administration of probiotic by live food (rotifer), could be resulted in relatively higher growth parameters, feed efficiency and also significant improvement in digestive alkaline protease activity. Further studies should be conducted on effects of *Bacillus* spp. as probiotic supplementation by both live food and/or in water on specific immune response, disease resistance and other biochemical parameters in cultured fish species.

Acknowledgments

This study was funded by Ege University, Scientific Research Project, 11–SUF–014. The authors extend their gratitude to the staff of the Kılıç Sea Products Inc., Ören hatchery, Milas, MUĞLA, TURKEY, where the experiments were conducted for their invaluable technical assistance during the experiments. Also, the authors gratefully acknowledge Nektar Yem Corp. for the kind supply of probiotics.

References

- Anson, M.L. 1938. The estimation of pepsin, trypsin, papain and cathepsin with hemoglobin. Journal of General Physiology, 22: 79–89.
- Avella, M.A., Gioacchini, G., Decamp, O., Makridis, P., Bracciatelli, C. and Carnevali, O. 2010. Application of multi–species of *Bacillus* in sea bream larviculture. Aquaculture, 305: 12–19. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2010.03.029,
- Bairagi, A., Ghosh, K.S., Sen, S.K., Ray, A.K. 2002. Enzyme producing bacterial flora isolated from fish digestive tracts. Aquaculture International, 10: 109– 121. doi: 10.1023/A:1021355406412
- Balcázar, J.L., Blas, I., Ruiz-Zarzuela, I., Cunningham, D., Vendrell, D., Múzquiz, J.L. 2006. The role of probiotics in aquaculture. Veterinary Microbiology, 114: 173–186. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2006.01.009
- Bradford M.M. 1976. A rapid sensitive method for the quantification of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein–dye binding. Anal.

Biochem., 72: 248-254.

- Díaz–Rosales, P., Salinas, I., Rodríguez, A., Cuesta, A., Chabrillón, M., Balebona, M.C., Morinigo, M.Á., Esteban, M.Á. and Meseguer, J. 2006. Gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*, L.) innate immune response after dietary administration of heat–inactivated potential probiotics. Fish and Shellfish Immunology, 20: 482–492. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2005.06.007
- Dimitroglou, A., Merrifield, D.L., Carnevali, O., Picchietti, S., Avella, M., Daniels, C., Guroy, D., Davies, S.J., 2011. Microbial manipulations to improve fish health and production–A Mediterranean perspective. Fish and Shellfish Immunology, 30: 1–16. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2010.08.009
- Eddy, S.D. and Jones, S.H. 2002. Microbiology of summer flounder *Paralichthys dentatus* fingerling production at a marine fish hatchery. Aquaculture, 211: 9–28. doi: 10.1016/S0044-8486(01)00882-1
- El-Haroun, E.R., Goda, A-S, A.M., Chowdury, M.A.K. 2006. Effect of dietary probiotic Biogen[®] supplementation as a growth promoter on growth performance and feed utilization of Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.). Aquaculture Research, 37: 1473–1480. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2109.2006.01584.x
- Gatesoupe, F.J. 1999. The use of probiotics in aquaculture. Aquaculture, 180: 147–165. doi: 10.1016/S0044-8486(99)00187-8
- Gatesoupe, F.J. 2007. Live yeasts in the gut: Natural occurrence, dietary introduction, and their effects on fish health and development. Aquaculture, 267: 20–30. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.01.005
- Ghosh, K., Sen, S.K., Ray, A.K. 2003. Supplementation of an isolated fish gut bacterium, *Bacillus circulans*, in formulated diets for rohu, *Labeo rohita*, fingerlings. Israeli J. Aquacult.–Bamidgeh, 55: 13–21.
- Hidalgo, M.C., Skalli, A., Abellán, E., Arizcun, M. and Cardenete, G. 2006. Dietary intake of probiotics and maslinic acid in juvenile dentex (*Dentex dentex* L.): effects on growth performance, survival and liver proteolytic activities. Aquaculture Nutrition, 12: 256– 266. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2095.2006.00408.x
- Irene, S., Alberto, C., Ángeles, M.E. and José, M. 2005. Dietary administration of *Lactobacillus delbrüeckii* and *Bacillus subtilis*, used alone or combined, on cellular innate immune responses. Fish and Shellfish Immunology, 19: 67–77. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2004.11.007
- Jafaryan, H., Taati, M.M., Jafarzadeh, M., 2011. The enhancement of growth parameters in common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) larvae using probiotic in rearing tanks and feeding by various Artemia nauplii. AACL Bioflux, 4: 511–518.
- Kolkovski S. 2001. Digestive enzymes in fish larvae and juveniles–implications and application to formulated diets. Aquaculture, 200: 181–201. doi: 10.1016/S0044-8486(01)00700-1
- Li, P., Burr, G.S., Goff, J., Whiteman, K.W., Davis, K.B., Vega, R.R., Neill, W.H. and Gatlin III, D.M. 2005. A preliminary study on the effects of dietary supplementation of brewers yeast and nucleotides, singularly or in combination, on juvenile red drum (*Sciaenops ocellatus*). Aquaculture Research, 36: 1120–1127. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2109.2005.01333.x
- Mohapatra, S., Chakraborty, T., Prusty, A.K., Das, P., Paniprasad, K. and Mohanta, K.N. 2012. Use of different microbial probiotics in the diet of rohu,

Labeo rohita fingerlings: effects on growth, nutrient digestibility and retention, digestive enzyme activities and intestinal microflora. Aquaculture Nutrition, 18: 1–11. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2095.2011.00866.x

- Panigrahi, A., Kiron, V., Puangkaew, J., Kobayashi, T., Satoh, S. and Sugita, H. 2005. The viability of probiotic bacteria as a factor influencing the immune response in rainbow trout *Onchorhynchus mykiss*. Aquaculture, 243: 241–254. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2004.09.032
- Planas, M., Pérez-Lorenzo, M., Hjelm, M., Gram, L., Fiksdal, I.,U., Bergh, Ø. and Pintado, J. 2006. Probiotic effect in vivo of *Rosebacter* strain 27-4 against *Vibrio (Listonella) anguillarum* infections in turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus* L.) larvae. Aquaculture, 255: 323–333. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.11.039
- Robertson, P.A.W., O'Dowd, C., Burrels, C., Williams, P. and Austin, B. 2000. Use of *Carnobacterium* sp. as a probiotic for Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) and rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*, Walbaum). Aquaculture, 185: 235–243. doi: 10.1016/S0044-8486(99)00349-X
- Salinas, I., Cuesta, A., Ángeles Esteban, M., Meseguer, J. 2005. Dietary administration of *Lactobacillus delbrüeckii* and *Bacillus subtilis*, single or combined, on gilthead seabream cellular innate immune responses. Fish and Shellfish Immunology, 19: 67–77. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2004.11.007
- Salinas, I., Díaz-Rosales, P., Cuesta, A., Meseguer, J., Chabrillón, M., Morinigo, M. Á. and Ángeles Esteban, M., 2006. Effect of heat-inactivated fish and non-fish derived probiotics on the innate immune parameters of a teleost fish (*Sparus aurata* L.). Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology 111, 279–286. doi: 10.1016/j.vetimm.2006.01.020
- Suzer, C., Çoban D., Kamacı, H.O., Aytepe, B., Saka, Ş., Fırat, K., 2007. Variations of digestive enzyme activities in gilthead Sea bream larvae (*Sparus aurata* L., 1758) during the weaning stage. E.U. Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 24: 253–259.
- Suzer, C., Çoban, D., Kamacı, H.O., Saka, S., Fırat, K., Otgucuoğlu, Ö. and Küçüksarı, H., 2008. *Lactobacillus* spp. bacteria as probiotics in gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*, L.) larvae: effects on growth performance and digestive enzyme activities. Aquaculture, 280: 140–145. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2008.04.020
- Taoka, Y., Maeda, H., Jo, J.Y., Jeon, M.J., Bai, S.C., Lee, W.J., Yuge, K. and Koshio, S. 2006. Growth, stress tolerance and non-specific immune response of Japanese flounder *Paralichthys olivaceus* to probiotics in a closed recirculating system. Fisheries Science, 72: 310–321. doi: 10.1111/j.1444-2906.2006.01152.x
- Thompson, F.L., Abreu, P.C., Cavalli, R. 1999. The use of microorganisms as food source for *Penaeus paulensis* larvae. Aquaculture, 174: 139–153. doi: 10.1016/S0044-8486(98)00511-0
- Ueberschär, B., 1993. Measurement of proteolytic enzyme activity: significance and application in larval fish research. In: B.T. Walther, H.J. Fhyn (Eds.), Physiological and biochemical aspects of fish development. University of Bergen, Norway.
- Verschuere, L., Rombaut, G., Sorgeloos, P. and Verstraete, W. 2000. Probiotics bacteria as biological control agents in aquaculture. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev., 64: 655–671.

- Villamil, L., Figueras, A., Planas, M. and Novoa, B. 2003. Control of *Vibrio alginolyticus* in *Artemia* cultura by treatment with bacterial probiotics. Aquaculture, 219: 43–56. doi: 10.1016/S0044-8486(02)00515-X
- Walter, H. E. 1984. Proteinases: methods with hemoglobin, casein and azocoll as substrates. In: H. J. Bergmeyer (Ed.), Methods of Enzymatic Analysis. Vol. V,. Verlag Chemie, Weinham: 270–277
- Wang, Y.B., Xu, Z.R. 2006. Effect of probiotics for common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) based on growth performance and digestive enzyme activities. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol., 127: 283–292. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2005.09.003
- Wang, Y.B. 2007. Effect of probiotics on growth performance and digestive enzyme activity of the shrimp *Penaeus vannamei*. Aquaculture, 269: 259– 264. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.05.035
- Wang, Y.B, Li, J.R. and Lin, J. 2008. Probiotics in aquaculture: Challenges and outlook. Aquaculture, 281: 1–4. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2008.06.002
- Wen-Ying, S., Ling-Lin, F., Wei-Fen, I., Yao-Rong, Z., 2010. Effect of dietary supplementation with *Bacillus* subtilis on growth, performance, immune response and antioxidant activities of the shrimp (*Litopenaeus*)

vannamei). Aquaculture Research, 41: 1691-1698. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2109.2010.02554.x

- Wu, Z.X., Feng, X., Xie, L.L., Peng, X.Y., Yuan, J. and Chen, X.X., 2012. Effect of *Bacillus subtilis* Ch9 for grass carp, *Ctenopharyngodon idella* (Valenciennes, 1844), on growth performance, digestive enzyme activities and intestinal microflora. J. Appl. Ichthyol. 28: 721–727. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0426.2012.01968.x
- Zambonino Infante, J.L. and Cahu, C.L., 2001. Ontogeny of the gastrointestinal tract of marine fish larvae. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 130C: 477-487. doi: 10.1016/S1532-0456(01)00274-5
- Ziaei-Nejad, S., Rezaei, M.H., Takami, G.A., Lovett, D.L., Mirvaghefi, A.R. and Shakouri, M. 2006. The effect of *Bacillus* spp. bacteria used as probiotics on digestive enzyme activity, survival and growth in the Indian white shrimp *Fenneropenaeus indicus*. Aquaculture, 252: 516–524. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.07.021

Zhou, X., Wang, Y., Li, W. 2009. Effect of probiotic on larvae shrimp (*Penaeus vannamei*) based on water quality, survival rate and digestive enzyme activities. Aquaculture, 287: 349–353. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2008.10.046